

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - of December 12<sup>th</sup> together. I sat here and made a quick count. I believe that this is somewhere around our thirty-sixth meeting together and I'm hoping that it is our ultimate - the end meeting. So anybody -

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Are you guys having fun?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're all having fun, but too much fun at my age is dangerous. Right, John?

Do we have any announcements from anybody? Hey, Marcelino.

MELANEY SEACAT: (Inaudible; no microphone) - so not to jinx anything, but we have a very small token of our appreciation; this is on behalf of Staff and the City and County as a whole and truly, you know -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, geez.

MELANEY SEACAT: - words - words and this token cannot possibly express our appreciation for your work over the past 20 months; it has been absolutely amazing and wonderful to work with all of you. Well, let me think, most of you.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No (inaudible).

MELANEY SEACAT: Let me just - let me just (inaudible; no microphone).

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: (Inaudible) wastewater.

MELANEY SEACAT: (Inaudible; no microphone) I'm going to read it real

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

quick. As appreciation for your outstanding work in collaboration (inaudible).

MARK STRATTON: We don't get one of the Chuck's glass (inaudible) with this?

MELANEY SEACAT: You know what? If you (inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: The City used to give out those copper . . . plaques.

MELANEY SEACAT: Yeah. Yeah (inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let's figure out our hourly rates and bill 'em.

MELANEY SEACAT: Well, we used to get copper certificates.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, that's right.

JOSEPH MAHER: Well, from the Mayor.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, from the Mayor, that's right. Okay. Any more announcements? Marcelino?

MARCELINO FLORES: Mr. Chair, I shared with the group some - some meeting notes, upcoming meetings that are - but I didn't bring any of that with me. I'm sorry. I'm not prepared to highlight any of them. I know one of them was IPAG was going to be meeting and they were going to be - as one of their topics, looking at the Active Management Area update and, yeah, there was a couple of other things that were -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It was the joint PAG, CAG and MAG meeting.

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, and there was at the management meeting for PAG a resolution that was passed recognizing, again, the significance of the Sun

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Corridor, so I was encouraged to see that that is going to be moving forward. So next week on the 17<sup>th</sup> is - is the joint MAG, PAG and CAG meeting.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Easy for you to say. Huh?

MARCELINO FLORES: I - like I said -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Where did you say?

MARCELINO FLORES: - I sent this information -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Francisco Grande outside of Casa Grande.

MARCELINO FLORES: There you go. You got it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. I know the area.

JOHN CARLSON: My announcement is I didn't get a certificate. What happened?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It got put into the cake I think.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: They ran out of money.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Your assistance was not appreciated.

JOHN CARLSON: Speak for yourself.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Here we go . . . just so John's feelings aren't hurt, we won't go on until he gets his . . . all right. Any other announcements?

MARCELINO FLORES: One - one more announcement -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

MARCELINO FLORES: - if I may get it in. At EPAC tomorrow, which is the Environmental Planning Advisory Committee for PAG, they've got two items on

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

there; one - one of them specifically is Item 7, Central Arizona Project, and they're going to present on the energy/water interface and they're also going to have another presenter from DWR, Solar Technologies and the Implications for Water Use in Arizona, so those are two items that are on the EPAC presentation.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Thanks. Anybody else?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Now, can I ask for approval of the minutes?

BONNIE POULOS: I'll move to approve.

MARK STRATTON: Second.

BONNIE POULOS: Which one - on both?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, both -

BONNIE POULOS: I'll move for both.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - 12<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>. Second. Any objection?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Done. Call to the Audience.

MARK STRATTON: Do we have a microphone?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Go ahead, but Nancy's first. David is second, then you're . . .

NANCY FREEMAN: I did submit written comments which, evidently, a lot of you read and I really appreciate that and it was - it was one of the shortest things I've ever done. But I did get new information about the water delivery and the water

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

rates, and I wanted to give that to you and to note that in the Pima County Tucson AMA there was 65,000-something acre-feet of CAP water delivered and this is to reiterate what I mentioned on my comments; that that 144 acre-feet is not being delivered. And so we have - we're going to be pumping in the outskirts of Tucson region until all the CAP water is delivered to Tucson, and so we need to know how much that is and how many Tucson wells will never be on CAP because these are important things.

And I also want to emphasize the point that because of the Groundwater Replenishment District, the water users in all - for - and the water payers for all of Tucson Water will be paying for the higher-priced water that comes through the GRD. Now - so that'll be averaged out. On their plan, they have 12,000 acre-feet of GRD water.

Now, I also want to emphasize where this GRD water will be coming from. They'll (sic) be coming from aquifers that will be mined over in western Arizona. Now, I have investigated, but I haven't found anyone that has any idea of what the habitat, the riparian areas over there, and I will be investigating this further because, if we drain those aquifers over there and those aquifers are sustaining trees, we know that trees bring in the rains and they help hold the rains. So if they dry up a large section of western Arizona, it could really change our weather pattern. And so I'm quite concerned and I'm also quite concerned about turning the gulf of California into Dead Sea number two. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Thank you, Nancy. David?

DAVID GODLEWSKI: David Godlewski, I'm the Government Liaison for

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

the Southern Arizona Homebuilders' Association. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for the opportunity to address you tonight.

I genuinely do want to thank the Committee members, as well as Staff, for their - their hard work and effort during the process. I know it's been a very long endeavor; it hasn't been easy; there's been a lot of sacrifice on your part and that is being - that is recognized by me, as well as our organization, I think other stakeholders as well as the public.

I had submitted comments on the - on the Phase 2 Staff Report and - and so I'm not going to go into all of those points, but I do want to say that I'm somewhat concerned. I think there is - the perception is that we're very close to having a final Phase 2 report and, yet, it seems like things are being - being rushed in the final days and the final minutes to get this before Mayor and Council and the Board of Supervisors on the - on the 12<sup>th</sup>.

I - I think that we - one of the areas of concern is with the Committee Report for the Phase 2 process and making sure that public and - and stakeholders have an opportunity to see what the Committee is going to be putting forth, having the opportunity to comment on that.

I also just have - have kind of the perception that whether or not the Committee members have had enough time to adequately deliberate the Staff Report, provide input, provide comments. I know that's something that may be discussed later this evening, but I think it's - it's important that that not be rushed and everybody have any of their last-minute issues or questions raised and addressed and - and not being

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

rushed for - for a conclusion.

I also think that one of the challenges is with the - there's some new council members who - while I'm sure are very familiar with this process and familiar with the issue, that you're presenting them with a report - and my understanding is you're expecting - there's the potential that they could adopt it and it's a lot to take in in a short amount of time. So I would just emphasize that - that, while I can certainly understand if I were in your position, you know, kinda want to have some sort of finality and I think it should be finalized, but just making sure and - and - and taking the - taking enough time to - to fully address all the questions by stakeholders and the public, and - and then also making sure that there's adequate preparation and consideration given for our elected officials so they understand what they're going to be - what's going to come before them.

I also think - my final point that I want to make. I - I know that this is just Phase 2 of a long process; that there has been some question about what form or fashion this moves forward for Phases - Phases 3 through 5. I think it's very important that there is at some point in time a regional discussion about these issues, and I would think it would be appropriate for the Committee to make some sort of express commitment to being - to seeing these phases continued and that it's just not left at the - at the Phase 2 report. That concludes my comments. Thank you.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Could you state your name and who you're with again?

DAVID GODLEWSKI: sure. David Godlewski, I'm the Government

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Liaison for the Southern Arizona Homebuilders' Association.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Bob?

JOHN CARLSON: Can I make a comment on him or ask him a question?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, not in Call to the Audience. I'm sorry.

Those are the rules.

BOB COOK: My name's Bob Cook. I'm with Sustainable Tucson and I'm also a part-time member of this Committee, so I - I have played both roles as an outsider and an insider because of - of personal issues and I haven't been fully engaged in Phase 2 as many of you have, but I want to say a couple things.

First I want to just make a comment that I think that - that this process has at least opened up all of the major issues that the region will be discussing for the next several years, and I think it was a - just an unprecedented commendable effort by both Staff and - and the Committee. When - when you think of where we were when we started this process and where we are now, I think that - that much has been accomplished.

I - I came to talk - I was going to write this out, but I think I'll just deliver it in a few words. I want to talk about planning and I want to talk about what it means to plan for the future in the current context. In the past, in -in more stable environments, we use forecasting, statistical methods, a lot of quantitative methods. We get a good handle on what the data inputs are, what the - what the - what we have to actually talk about and decide about.

The problem going forward is that we don't have a stable environment

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

and, therefore, our predictions are very unreliable out several corridors. So - so for - for any of the scenario development to this point to rely on - on some sense of probability, I think is somewhat tenuous. And when we're dealing with, say, the - the study which talked about the - the future of - of the Colorado River and what's going on at Lake Mead, which is where we get our CAP and where we will increasingly get our CAP water to this region, 10% likelihood, 50% likelihood, there is an incredible range of uncertainty. And so uncertainty has been a major theme of mine and I'm only proposing this because this is going to be a point that I'm going to make going forward and that is: That we really are unprepared for a number of scenarios because we haven't taken them seriously enough because we are still grounded in sort of the reality that things will get back on track and there will be some sort of a normal - normalization of our situation here in the region.

And I think that most of the evidence that we've seen in the last year, in particular not only asset devaluation in this economy, but also the fact that we're seeing a convergence of trends which makes it really important for us to consideration all kinds of scenarios, slower-growth scenarios, resource-issue scenarios, environmental scenarios. When - when David Modere goes on KUAT talking about the challenges for his new organization - he was with Tucson Water, now he's - he's head of the CAP - he was appealing to the public that we - that we roll back our - our efforts on - on - on removing (inaudible) at the - the Navajo Coal Plant which provides electricity to pump the water to Tucson.

Well, if we're going to be getting into these kind of compromises where

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

they're still in denial about CO2 at the CAP, Sid - Sid Wilson actually said he didn't think that global warming was - was caused by CO2 emissions - we've got a lot of - a lot of work to do in the future. And even with David Modere, I mean, we can't - we can't just shove these environmental and economic issues aside and say that we - we need to delay action on them.

I'll just close by saying that - that if we don't do a better job in exploring the range of scenarios that are before us, I don't think we're prepared to actually respond realistically to this water/wastewater challenge and the community's need to actually invest public resources in this important infrastructure. So it's - it's very important that we begin to move forward.

When an ASU economist comes out and says the recovery in Arizona is not going to happen till 2013, you know, we've got some work to do, and I don't think we've fully appreciated what - what the scale of this challenge really is. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Anybody else?

JODI NETZER: Hi, everyone. It's good to see everybody. I was here last time and I can only be here briefly because I have to go to Steve Leal's thank you party. So, thank you everybody for working on these water issues and it's so wonderful to see that it's nearing the end of this phase of the process.

And I just wanted to give you an update as to the water festival which is happening because it's another way to get people involved on the ground through education and actually doing something into putting some of these policies into place. The festival's happening March 26<sup>th</sup> through the 28<sup>th</sup> in 2010.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

And I'd love for everybody here to attend the next community brainstorm gathering which is on December 10<sup>th</sup>, next week, Thursday, at Armory Park Center, 220 South Fifth Avenue. Doors open at 6:00 for sign-in and then at 6:30 to 8:30, the community, which is all through cross-sector collaborations, are discussing and mapping ideas through a creative process on how to deal with water issues.

And we just got a huge, huge big endorsement from Rodney Glassman, so thank you, Rodney, and I'm glad to see Holly here, so I hope to get all the endorsements of all the City Council.

I've been talking to a lot of City departments and organizations and I'm sure I don't have a complete list, but that's another thing I'd like to see is to have a complete list of all organizations, businesses and City departments working on water issues to have a complete list as a resource so everybody can go to. And that's something - that's one of the goals that the festival would like to do and to provide for the community. So I will give you a website to go to and my contact information is on there. I definitely need help from all sectors of the community about this water festival to bring people together, and that website is: [waterprojectfestival.org](http://waterprojectfestival.org). So, thank you and keep up the awesome work.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Thank you. Anybody else?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Mette, you're on.

METTE BROGDEN: Good evening, everybody. We have a report to finish tonight and so I want to just say a few things in the beginning about how we're

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

going to go through this.

The first thing is there's a couple of ground rules. One is: Please no copy edit remarks. We - I have a lot of copy edit suggestions from people. I want to remind you that Melaney, Nicole and I are going to do several rounds of copy editing, so we will catch everything, including "incent." Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Some things are important.

METTE BROGDEN: The second thing is: As far as possible, please keep your comments limited to issues of higher significance to you. So comments about tone, detail clarifications about things, we're not really going to be able to handle through this, so - and be able to get through what we need to and make sure that the report is reflecting the issues of greatest importance to Committee members.

The order of the discussion tonight is going to be we're going to finalize the Oversight Committee part of the report first, and that's the bulk of the time that we will probably spend on the report tonight. Then Melaney is going to talk about the Staff responses to the Committee comments and the public comments; correct?

MELANEY SEACAT: Yeah, just real briefly. We did receive a (inaudible) of public comments and we sent that out and we posted it onto the website. I think all tolled there was 11 emails or letters, individual comments; some of them were quite comprehensive. We appreciate everybody for taking the time to comment.

What we've done as Staff is we've gone through all of these comments and we've gone through the Committee comments and we've made some changes to the report, which Mette will highlight as she goes through the report. What we'll be

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

doing is including all the verbatim comments as an appendix in the report and, also, a summary of the themes from the entire Phase 2 effort. We haven't done the themes document yet, but that will be an appendix as well.

METTE BROGDEN: So the summary of comments that she was referring to that - I mean, the complete inclusion of comments is the public comments; correct? Yeah, in the appendices, not the ones you've been sending me 'cause those we're incorporating into the report. Okay?

So then we will go to the Staff portion of the report and go through and see what - the changes that have been made. We will have a discussion about how to incorporate any dissents from the Staff Report issues discussion, and then I think we will do a voting procedure on recommending the Committee - the Committee's feelings about the goals - the actual goals and recommendations in the Staff Report. Any questions? Okay.

Now, what I have done is you likely have the final draft that was prepared for tonight. I have sat with Staff members and incorporated the changes that they did this morning to the report in response to the public comments and to your comments, okay? So that is in the document right now that you're going to be seeing, so the pagination will be just slightly - may be just slightly different. We'll try and figure this out, okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, wait a second. Are you going to be putting something up on the screen that's going to be somewhat different than the hard copy that I printed out from what you sent us? Is that what you just said?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Just a little bit in the Staff section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Staff -

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, I'll try to follow it, what are we looking at?

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So now - so now the first thing that we're going to do is go to the Committee Report section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Will you tell John what to look - John wants to -

JOHN CARLSON: What page?

METTE BROGDEN: John, you want to look at page 40. Yeah. I don't think so.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, you can't turn the lights out.

MARCELINO FLORES: No.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, leave the lights on.

METTE BROGDEN: Leave the lights on. I think we can do this.

We're going to go - the first thing that we're going to do is go to page . . . 47, D, Criteria for Assessing Policy Proposals and Options. This is the last section that we worked on at the last meeting. And when I sent the next draft out to you, I sent a document, because Jim worked on this section a bit after the Committee meeting. So there was some alternative language that he proposed which actually just gives more of an explanation of the points that were being made in this section. So I'm going to put

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

that one up on the screen also. So do you remember seeing this one coming through?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Where does that substitute?

METTE BROGDEN: That would substitute page 40, the box.

MARCELINO FLORES: Could - could they both be there? The box and the - and the explanation?

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, it's . . .

METTE BROGDEN: I think you'd have to do one or the other.

VINCE VASQUEZ: So it's either the seven principles and criteria -

METTE BROGDEN: Right.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - or Jim's statement?

METTE BROGDEN: Uh-huh. Principles Intrinsic to Achieving Water Sustainability. Bonnie?

JOHN CARLSON: Mine doesn't correlate.

BONNIE POULOS: I have to say that I was somewhat distraught after the vote at the end of our meeting last - last time, and I spent a long time thinking about how to respond to it. And, when Jim Barry's substitute section came through, I realized that he made my job a lot easier and I have to say I have a new-found respect for Jim because I think he listened to what everything - what everyone was saying at that meeting.

At the last meeting I felt that the vote we took at the end highlighted the whole issue of water as a commodity and the need to make things expedient when we're dealing with economics. And I think that the way that Jim rewrote these

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

principles, based on our discussion, really highlights the feelings of not just myself but I think of the people who participated in the discussion last meeting, and I fully support the substitution of these principles for the ones that were in the report.

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Don't slap my wrist here, but the last sentence in bold in Principle 1 is an incomplete sentence, and I think I know what he's getting at there, but I would definitely -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well "design" is supposed to be a verb.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - prefer - got it. Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: But we could rewrite it to make - I can see how that could be (inaudible).

BRUCE GUNGLE: I see. Yeah, I didn't -

BONNIE POULOS: Could you give it a hyphen?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - I read this ten times and it never -

BONNIE POULOS: Could you give it a hyphen?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - never quite got it.

BONNIE POULOS: Design - or the design -

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, it's supposed to be the opposite. If you put a hyphen in, you make it a noun.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: One final sentence.

JOHN CARLSON: What is the heading?

BRUCE GUNGLE: So I apologize for that, I just didn't understand what he

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

meant there.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Take the word "design" as a verb, it is a sentence but we should - we should design. The City and the County should design.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, right. But we should, that would - that would make it (inaudible). And since that was a matter of content I thought it was important to bring up.

METTE BROGDEN: I'm going to just add that in, Jim, right there, okay?

One thing on this section, he did not have - when he was working on this - the final language from last time in front of him. So if you look at Principle 5, there's no explanation under that principle and Jim will have an opportunity to add a little bit more explanation.

BRUCE GUNGLE: There's none in -

METTE BROGDEN: On 4 either.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - in the report either.

METTE BROGDEN: Right.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: But - but, in order to make it parallel, what's going on with the other sections -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Sure.

METTE BROGDEN: - yeah. Rob?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, go ahead.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I agree with Bonnie to a certain extent. The only -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

my only issue with this is that what's missing from it is what's most important to me and that's in the old section 6. Equity and social justice issues related to cost and quality of life must be addressed in evaluating policy proposals and projects. We need to consider the community's ability to pay, 20% of our population lives below the poverty level. This is very important because, number one, you bring in new water we know it's going to be exponentially more expensive and how do we pay for it? Everybody has - has been asking like how do we pay for these things, the wastewater plant, et cetera? I - I think we really need that in there. I think that Mayor and Council and the Board of Supervisors need to remember that they have to look at what can we afford and what can regular people, yeah, working people afford? And, you know, it is - water is essential to life, we say that, but we also have to say that it has to be a commodity that can be afforded by everyone.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: If I could - I agree with Rob.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What I sent out on Principle 4 and 5, I thought that there was stuff that had been said at the meeting and new verbiage that I didn't have, so I just put in a placeholder and, in my mind, I envisioned that being in there.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Good. Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So I'm going to just add this over onto your page, Jim, okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Where would - where would you want to put it, Rob, under 4 or 5? Well, it's under 5. Okay.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

JOHN CARLSON: Point of confusion. When you talk about the cost of water, you're talking about the price of the unit and how many units somebody uses. So when you're talking about these people that are - that are below the poverty level, you got to worry about the quantity they use, too.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Obviously, yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Vince?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, I - I think I generally support the substitution of this language with two exceptions. The one I would ask to - to amend Principle 3 to include some kind of statement about proper representation at - at the table within the deliberative process; it's not just transparency and access to - to petition the process but, you know, encourage participation from, you know, impacted parties and diverse repre- - something along those lines to indicate that - that part of it.

And, two, the last sentence in Principle 2, it's the same one that I think we had the discussion on last time, and it just - I'm not sure - I'm not sure it's - it's as well-defined as it could be; that portion of water needed for continuing function must be reserved for the environment. I understand it's a principle and that it's, you know, inherently ambiguous, but that - I think the rest of - the rest of the sentence - or the rest of the paragraph of the principle I think says more clearly what - what it's intending to mean there.

METTE BROGDEN: Actually, that sentence was deleted from the -

VINCE VASQUEZ: That's what I remember.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah. So, Jim, can we just get rid of that sentence?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What does the Committee think?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Wait, wait, where - where are we? I thought we were  
on 3 -

BONNIE POULOS: Principle 2.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - or are we on 2?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Principle 2, the last sentence.

BRUCE GUNGLE: The last sentence.

METTE BROGDEN: This is Jim's verbiage -

VINCE VASQUEZ: And I raise the same -

METTE BROGDEN; Do you see where my (inaudible) is?

VINCE VASQUEZ: - the same thing last time when we had a discussion.

We ended up saying that the rest - rest of the sentence says what we want, or the  
paragraph.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Hang on.

METTE BROGDEN: And that was actually accepted last time as a  
deletion.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. All right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay? Marcelino?

BRUCE GUNGLE: For what it's worth - I mean, I wasn't here at the end of  
the meeting - but I don't agree with that at all. I mean . . . whatever.

BONNIE POULOS: Well, why?

BRUCE GUNGLE: It's already been decided.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: You don't agree with the deletion?

BRUCE GUNGLE: With the deletion, no.

BONNIE POULOS: Would you reword it? Do you have any suggested rewording?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Not off the top of my head, no. Sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. And the ground rules say we move on.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: That's fine. But, let the record state . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Marcelino?

MARCELINO FLORES: Kind of adding to Vince's comments about Principle 3, one of the things that I think I became - or - or concerned about was - and I think the group had expressed it generally as well, you know, who are the experts? And kind of seeing a role for the experts or who are the experts? And I - you know, when we're trying to nail down some information, we really do need to have some sort of expert or - or, you know - although, there is - there's those that are affected by this - by the stakeholder process but, you know, who can they count on for reliable information or, you know, consistent information? So, as that principle aims to identify who's got to be at the table, I think, you know, a role for the experts might be appropriate. I don't know who they are, nor do I have any language to that effect.

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Just going back to Vince's earlier comment, it - it

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

seems to me that the - that in Principle 3 - not in Principle 2, but Principle 3, the - the use of the word "open" I think implies what you're talking about or what you're - you're driving at in terms of making sure that everybody is allowed to come to the table. I - one simple, perhaps, resolution to that would be to just include the term "inclusive," so open, transparent and inclusive, or open, inclusive and transparent public dialogue. Yeah, that would be it.

MARK STRATTON: I would agree with that.

BONNIE POULOS: The first sentence?

METTE BROGDEN: Vince?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, I - my - the wordsmithing isn't entirely important to me, just as long as the concept that - if "inclusive" means that you have people, you know, engage in the deliberative process, you know; that the impacted parties - I would - I would personally argue that this current Committee is not entirely representative of as many impacted parties as it should be, and I would - I would hope that going forward that whatever table we design, it's designed such that it's truly representative of, you know, people who want to engage the process.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So can you look at this - the green parts now on this section and see if that will work for you?

BONNIE POULOS: Where?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Up there.

METTE BROGDEN: Right here - last paragraph, right here. See where my cursor's going?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: An open, deliberate process.

METTE BROGDEN: Open, inclusive and transparent public dialogue and deliberative process.

BRUCE GUNGLE: You probably want to add “inclusiveness” then to that final sentence . . . right -

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - just to be parallel.

METTE BROGDEN: And then this final thing, expert information put into the process, we would make into a sentence.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I like the words that came to mind just now, signif- - or . . . “significant” - “all the significant stakeholder groups” or - or something along those lines if that’s - the Committee’s amenable to that.

METTE BROGDEN: Bonnie?

BONNIE POULOS: I have a real problem with the use of the word “stakeholders,” I have for a long time and I think that it is a misused term and it is misunderstood. And stakeholders often refer to individuals with a monetary interest and I think that it’s not well-defined. I’d rather see “inclusive” and that will include everyone, including all stakeholders.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: How about - how about “impacted parties?”

MARK STRATTON: No.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Well, I’m not - I’m not going to hung up. It’s fine. It’s

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

fine.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let's remember people - since we have ten people here and we're reading that differently, the people who read it will read it - just when you say "inclusive," that doesn't mean that you - you want Don Diamond at the table, you know, and Vince wants us to have Don Diamond at the table. I think he's got a right to be at the table and we just want to make sure it's clear. I know some of us don't want to meet with Don and - and we just ought to be clear. So if there's any ambiguity, then I think we got to - we've got to make sure we say it.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I mean, I don't - I don't like the term "stakeholder" either. I think it's - it's dumb, but . . .

METTE BROGDEN: There is an actual paragraph about this in the Staff - in the Committee Report; it's on the bottom of page 49. Did we find another copy of this? Okay. I'm going to give you mine. That really talks about this issue, Bonnie. Who's a stakeholder, what's the public, you know, how that's defined and, I mean, we could put that forward in the document if we wanted to, but - but as long as this issue is, you know, clearly addressed by the Committee, is that going to be okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: This is - this is later on in the document -

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - and . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Non-expert community members are critical consumers, critiques and partners -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BRUCE GUNGLE: It's going to be lost.

METTE BROGDEN: - in water and wastewater; it's going to be lost.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, my point is that people may not get to

page 49 -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - they only may get - so if somehow this Principle Number 3 is - has to be read by everybody as saying no interest will be excluded from the table, then I'll be happy.

VINCE VASQUEZ: How about "no interest will be excluded from deliberative processes?"

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That's fine.

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

BONNIE POULOS: I don't like it.

(Inaudible; no microphone.)

BONNIE POULOS: I think inclusive (inaudible; no microphone).

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah, "inclusive" says it all.

VINCE VASQUEZ: But I would - I would argue that we - we would make a very I think right statement that we have been involved in an open, inclusive, transparent process, but I don't think it - you can go so far as to say that we have truly engaged a really representative mix of the community in - in our deliberative processes, which I think is where - where the real effort needs to be.

BONNIE POULOS: I disagree with you. I don't think this was an inclusive

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

process; this was a process determined by Mayor and Council and the Board of Supervisors to include water planners, people who deal with wastewater issues from the community, and members of planning commissions; they did not include neighborhoods; they did not include realtors, per se; they did not - it was not meant to be an inclusive process; it was meant to be a process that opened it up to the public with people who have been involved in these issues with growth planning, water issues. And, in that regard, I think we were a selected group in order to do this process and I think that for the next phase, in order to be inclusive, we have to open it up to anyone who has an interest to be able to do it. But I disagree, I don't think this was an inclusive process and I don't think it was meant to be.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I - I was just trying (inaudible; no microphone) what I thought. (Inaudible) of the process that we've just - so I . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: I see no problem with adding "no interest should be excluded from the deliberative process" at the end. I mean, it's just saying the same thing in the negative instead of the positive, but -

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - if that's - if that satisfies Vince, I think we should move on.

MARCELINO: I - I want to -

METTE BROGDEN: Vince?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Satisfies you? Marcelino?

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, I was also going to advocate for that language as well in that, perhaps, however the process does move forward it may not have to have every stakeholder, you know, identified to - and - and everyone there to have a quorum, so - so long as they're not excluded from the deliberative process in some way or fashion, you know, we don't have to have a table of 70, 80 representatives, we can have a smaller table and, you know, and they'll have to consider how deliberations will occur within the stakeholders.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So is the Committee going to accept then substituting this language from Jim with the understanding that Principle 5 may be expanded on just a bit? Principle 6 is added from the previous - and that's the Rob Kulakofsky comment -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I'm sorry. Can you say that again, please?

METTE BROGDEN: Principle 6 is what Rob wanted to add in from the previous page.

MARCELINO FLORES: But that goes under Principle 5, right, as far as - is that - that what I . . .

BRUCE GUNGLER: Old Principle 6 goes under new Principle 5; correct?

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: That's okay?

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah.

TINA LEE: Jim, any chance you can have something drafted up for

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Principle 5 at the end of tonight?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No.

METTE BROGDEN: Maybe that's enough like that.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Send him to the corner and put him to work.

MARCELINO FLORES: Is that enough?

MARK STRATTON: Actually, I like the way that reads just as it is.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah. Okay. Bonnie?

BONNIE POULOS: Would it be permissible to revisit Principle 2 just for a minute?

METTE BROGDEN: In the old one or this one?

BONNIE POULOS: No, in the new one.

METTE BROGDEN: Sure.

BONNIE POULOS: One of our tasks or goals that was spelled out for Phase 2 was that we should reserve water for the environment, and I see Bruce's point and I'm wondering if we might be able to alter the last sentence to read, "Impacts to the natural environment must always be considered in planning allocation and siting decisions such that water is reserved to maintain a healthy environment." Would that be okay?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Boy, I second that.

MARCELINO FLORES: Did you get that?

BONNIE POULOS: No, I - I agree, but I think that -

METTE BROGDEN: To preserve - is reserved to preserve?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: Is reserved for - is reserved for a healthy environment. I think that was all I - you might have to wordsmith that a little bit, but . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Okay?

BONNIE POULOS: For maintaining a healthy environment.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, maintaining -

MARK STRATTON: Maintaining.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Can I -

METTE BROGDEN: Jim?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, yeah, that's great. Can I make a -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - question. Go to - go to 4 and 5, please. Can 4 and 5 be joined? We just have Principle 4, water is an economically-valued resource, all costs and benefits must be - need to be identified and measured, then have equity and social justice and - and the A, B, C and D underneath it. What do you think?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, that makes sense to me.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, Principle 5 would be the first sentence of Principle 4. The second sentence, "all costs" goes first.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Right. And then this language that we had just dumped in there would go under D; correct?

METTE BROGDEN: Did I get that right, Jim?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No. The second sentence, “all costs” -

METTE BROGDEN: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - “all costs,” highlight what - what is bolded  
there -

METTE BROGDEN: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - cut that -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Why do you want -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - and move it in front of “water.” No, I want  
“water is an economically-valued resource and must be managed with due  
consideration to its economic value” to be the first sentence in Principle 4.

VINCE VASQUEZ: And then “all costs.”

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Then the second sentence, “All costs and  
benefits . . .”

BRUCE GUNGLE: That’s how she had it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Then I missed it. I’m sorry.

BONNIE POULOS: That’s because we can’t see the screen.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - oh, okay.

BONNIE POULOS: It’s hard to see.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - I see what I did wrong.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right.

VINCE VASQUEZ: With that change and with our time restrictions, I

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

would move that we - we just finalize this -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me just -

VINCE VASQUEZ: - language and move on.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - let me just ask a question: Rob, are you happy with equity after D or do you want it up higher?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I'm not worried.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, that would be under social qual- - or . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Social quality of life, yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Are we done?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I guess I could -

BONNIE POULOS: Thank you, Jim.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you, Jim. Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me just say something. I left the meeting saying, boy, a lot of people around the table made a lot of compromises and I didn't, which is what led me to - to redo this because I knew it was important and I'm glad it worked.

BONNIE POULOS: Thank you.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you. All right. On we go. So

now I think - let's see here . . .

BONNIE POULOS: (Inaudible) Mette?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: We've taken out the box?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: We've taken out the box.

BONNIE POULOS: We've taken out the box on 47 and we're going to substitute these -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: - principles with - with the changes that we've made?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: Okay. Thank you.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. I'm not going to do it right now, just 'cause it's hard to do it mechanically -

BONNIE POULOS: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - but we will remember. Don't worry. Okay.

Now we're on to E, which should be page 48 I believe in your copy.

Okay? These are recommendations for future phases. And the first one is to take a regional approach to water and wastewater in the Tucson Active Management Area.

Okay? So there's an intersection which parallels what the Staff did in their report, and then there are specific recommendations, okay? Does anybody need to see any

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

changes to this section?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Right here -

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: This is a tiny little thing, but it's . . . a mistake that's been -

METTE BROGDEN: Apparently not tiny.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - perpetrated for over ten years and that's why I'm bringing it up because it might not get caught, and that is in the last paragraph about specific recommendations, it's the "Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan." The Protection Plan was something that the coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection came up with, and then it was co-opted by Chuck as an MA- - MHACP as -

BONNIE POULOS: Sorry. What page is that?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - the Conservation Plan.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Forty-eight.

BONNIE POULOS: Oh, right above it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right there.

BONNIE POULOS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It's "Conservation Plan."

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Marcelino?

MARCELINO FLORES: This - this relates back to a specific recommendation in the Staff Report, 1.1, as far as my comment, the last paragraph reads that the City and the County should support emerging regional visioning process

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

in way to engage community in discussion of urban form. This isn't very specific and one of the things is as - if we can add in the specific recommendations on part E, and I haven't given - how do you say? I - I - my - my recommendation isn't as informed, but I think - and I already got my certificate, so . . . I - I believe it's important for the City and the County to demonstrate that this study and the work that's been done is at the highest level and there's numerous activities, groups, meetings that are happening and to show the commitment, you know, the City and the County Administrator and the Manager, respectively, assigned Nicole and Melaney to, you know, help out and bring everything together for this Phase 1 and 2, and I believe that there should be some role for - for that to be continued in Phases, you know, 3 through 5, so . . .

METTE BROGDEN: So the exact proposal is - do you want this as a specific recommendation or do you want it into the narrative section?

MARCELINO FLORES: Well, in - into - into E is where I would see it fitting.

METTE BROGDEN: Right. We're under Recommendation 1, taking the regional approach. Do you want it in this section?

MARCELINO FLORES: As a specific recommendation or generally recommended, I - I just really would want it recognized because I know the City and the County have got representatives at the - at the visioning process, but their interests might be more attuned to the land use planning interest that they have. And so if - if some of these principles can be promulgated and pushed and considered, or at least brought to the table at those discussions, then I think, you know, at the highest level

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

these two can represent the - this study.

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: Perhaps something about including Staff who were involved in the first two phases in the next phase of the process in order to maintain continuity and to provide clarification; and that way we wouldn't specifically point out Melaney and Nicole; they might want to retire from this job, but there are a lot of Staff people who worked on this and I think it is important. I think it's a good point.

MARCELINO FLORES: I'm just saying at the highest level possible; it just says highest - Staff at the highest level.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I would -

JOHN CARLSON: (Inaudible) highest number.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - I would recommend going even a little further be - or farther in that there's been some - there's been some discussion - some rumblings it sounds like that, you know, we're not sure if - how Phase 3 will look. Does the scope, you know, the current scope for Phases 3 through 5 really apply? You know, the City and County will certainly participate, but they won't convene the process. And I think - I think it's - it's incumbent upon the City and the County who really, you know, started this process and took a bold move to help keep it going and to make a commitment to help convene and to commit resources to - to convening the regional process.

MARCELINO FLORES: And, again - and, again, I might not be the best informed but, you know, I think the City and the County have, in fact, enabled some of the meetings to occur at that regional discussion, so I heard there was a grant that was

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

being put together. I don't know if it was awarded or - you know, but these are, again, I'm not well-informed, but I think we have - or the City and the County have demonstrated their support even already as this process has started, so they're celebrating one year.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. The language of number 13 is in addition based on what you've been saying. So try this out: City and County should commit to helping regional process - a regional process be convened and commit resources to assuring that it can happen. Staff involved in the first two phases of this process should continue to be involved in future phases to provide continuity.

BONNIE POULOS: And you put that in the Staff Report?

METTE BROGDEN: No.

BONNIE POULOS: Into our . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, that's number 13.

METTE BROGDEN: Number 13, page 49.

BONNIE POULOS: Oh, okay. Sorry. Okay. Gotcha. Number 13. Thank you.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay? Does that work for everybody?

JOSEPH MAHER: That was a good point.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Anything else in this section?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I move that the Committee adopt Section E.

METTE BROGDEN: Wait a minute, we're not done with Section E. We're just - Section E, Recommendation 1.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, I was trying to move the process along. I've got a motion on the table. Is there a second? No. Keep going.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So can I come back and ask do we need to add anything to this first recommendation about taking a regional approach or are we finished? Okay.

Recommendation 2. How is that for moving that along?

Recommendation 2. "Assure effective community participation in determining and realizing a sustainable water future in the region." Any issues that anybody has in this section?

BONNIE POULOS: You mean except for shading?

METTE BROGDEN: Whack. Okay? I'm going to move it along to Recommendation 3 then. "Address cost issues more fully."

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah, listen, that indicates that these people can vote and change the thing and they can't.

BRUCE GUNGLE: What?

JOHN CARLSON: They're not empowered.

BONNIE POULOS: What?

METTE BROGDEN: What? Say that again, John.

JOHN CARLSON: Assure effective community - in determining and realizing a sustainable water future. What - what they're good at is providing background and expertise and facts and predictions that then the - the responsible government entities can pick up on and do the right thing.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

JOHN CARLSON: This kinda indicates that they're going be able to vote and do - do exact - something like that; that's just confusing to me.

METTE BROGDEN: Is it because of the word "determining?"

JOHN CARLSON: Huh?

METTE BROGDEN: Is it -

JOHN CARLSON: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: - because of the word "determining?"

JOHN CARLSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: How about "in the process of determining?"

JOHN CARLSON: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: Wow, I got -

METTE BROGDEN: That softens it. Very good.

JOHN CARLSON: - I can't believe . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: Can I -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

MARCELINO FLORES: - regarding the specific Recommendation 1 -

METTE BROGDEN: Uh-huh.

MARCELINO FLORES: - under this item . . .

METTE BROGDEN: "Develop a specific plan?"

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, I think that kind of - I think, Vince, you had

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

raised some concerns or kinda that process that you had just talked about. Remember

. . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah. Remember the process?

MARCELINO FLORES: No, no, no. The - what did you just say a little bit earlier? Maybe like five, ten minutes

. . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: I'm kind of a loud-mouth guy. I talk about - which - which . . .?

MARCELINO FLORES: Whether or not this is the right process? Whether or not it should continue . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: Recommendation 2?

METTE BROGDEN: So, Marcelino, we're on page 51, specific recommendations number 1 -

MARCELINO FLORES: Right.

METTE BROGDEN: - and this is in the section on. . .

MARCELINO FLORES: Vince - Vince had described some questions that needed to kind of be answered. I mean, I got them as - as questions. (Inaudible) just state it.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I want to help. It means I have a partner in crime, but . . . are you talking about going back to the last language we just inserted -

MARCELINO FLORES: Right.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - about ensuring that the City and County commit to

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

resources, you know, such that we make sure the regional process is truly convened?

MARCELINO FLORES: But the preamble that you said before you stated that.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Oh, that there's been some concern that Phase - that, you know, the scope of work, Phases 3 through 5, is kind of a defunct document or that there's a slack in commitment to - to pursuing Phases 3 through 5 and that we should make sure we don't do that, essentially; right? Is that what you . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: Right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So that was incorporated -

VINCE VASQUEZ: And they were -

METTE BROGDEN: - in number 13.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - they were questions. I - I don't know. It just - I've heard different statements and I'm not - I'm not sure where the jurisdictions stand on that.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: To ensure that Phases 3 through 5 take place, the City of Tucson and Pima County blah, blah, blah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me make a point here. This is just my personal opinion. Forget Phases 3 through 5. They are - what 3 was supposed to do was redo for everybody else what we did in Phase 1. Phase 4 was to redo for everybody else what we did in Phase 2. Phase 5 was to say, "What do we do with the CAP shuts down?" It was kind of disaster planning. I don't think Phases 3, 4 and 5 are going to happen as envisioned. What you want is to have emerging out of this some

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

sort of a regional conversation about - about the whole thing. I think that the City and the County are going to have to be - help in facilitating, but I - my sense is that at the moment is they don't want to take responsibility for it because there's a lot of other jurisdictions and whatnot that - that resent this process and - and might want to try to start it out on their own. So I - I think we should say that the City and the County have got to help and be willing, but I don't think we should suggest they have responsibility for getting the regional dialogue going.

MARCELINO FLORES: Okay. But - but -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It won't happen without 'em, but they - but it may not start unless somebody else starts it.

MARCELINO FLORES: Well, again, and kind of what this recommendation is saying - and I think it's kind of related to the - Recommendation 13 that we just did - and that is increase visibility of water issues and - and I think because we had stated that, you know, to maintain continuity but, again, with the - I guess this would be like the underlying goal, so I . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: You know, I'm - I'm reading Recommendation 2 to be based on the assumption that if there's a regional conversation, the stakeholders are going to be at the table; they - that's what they exist for, to be at the table.

What this is talking about is how do we get a larger community awareness of the dialogue, of following it and get their input, not necessarily at the table but that it's broadcast more widely. This is not worried about whether the Sonoran Desert Alliance and - and the Southern Arizona Homebuilders can be at the table; they'll be at the table;

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

they'll take care of themselves.

This is - look, we did what we could to publicize our existence and my best friends don't know about this process. I mean, if there is .005% of the - of the community that know what we're doing, I would be really surprised. It's very hard to break through into the public, and I think that's what this is addressing. So it's a very specific kind of recommendation.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah. Bonnie?

BONNIE POULOS: Well - but I - I've probably sat through 30 of these 36 meetings and my feeling is that the City and the County have an obligation to start the next phase of a regional dialogue. They started this process. They are the - the largest entities that deal with water in the Tucson AMA, and I disagree with Jim. I think that we need to kinda put the fire to their feet and say, "You started this process," and in order to make it worth all the Staff time, all the information that you have that can be used in some way, they have an obligation to start that regional dialogue from this point on.

METTE BROGDEN: How does the rest of the Committee feel about that?  
Mark?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah, I would agree because conceptually for the recommendations that are included in here, it does take a regional approach; it can't just be the City and the County; it needs to be the entire eastern Pima County.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I just wanted to - I mean, I think Bonnie's statement is right on and I always like to say that when I agree with Bonnie 'cause . . . it's great, it's great; it feels good. But - but I think - I think there is an obligation. I think it's a good

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

start, you know, to kinda - of what we have so far. I'm not saying my - our past comments about - that the scope of work has - that it's defunct - I do believe that it should be redrafted as you get all the stakeholders to the table; that you can't, you know, just - they just draft it and then everybody abide by it. But I do believe that there is an obligation to help convene; to do whatever they can to help convene the regional process.

MARCELINO FLORES: If Recommendation 1, you know, City and County Staff informed by other interested parties develop a specific plan to increase visibility, does that. . .

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Number - the first thing is that we're - we're mooshing together two separate recommendations; one is about the regional process; and the second one is about principles for public participation and how the public can be involved and stakeholders can be involved in a variety of different scales: in the planning process, in conservation, in implementation, everything. So they're very distinct in that way. Marcelino, I think your comments are directed to Recommendation Number 1 -

MARCELINO FLORES: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: - okay? So the reason that I have enlarged the font here is just to see if there's something that we need to do or maybe this needs to go up at the very beginning, but is there something else that needs to happen in this verbiage right here to capture what - what you want to see emphasized? Bonnie?

BONNIE POULOS: (Inaudible; no microphone.)

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Marcelino? Chris?

CHRIS BROOKS: The only thing I would say is that - I mean, while the City and the County certainly should commit to helping the process, it needs to be clear that the City and County aren't trying to dominant the process because that's going to turn everybody else away, and that's the reason why other jurisdictions wouldn't want to partake in some kind of a process like that. So, to the extent that we can convey that message, I think that's important.

BRUCE GUNGLE: To the extent that we can establish that.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So -

JOHN CARLSON: Just a quick perspective. What brought this about? Who got together with who or who engendered talking to the other and saying let's go get all these experts and nice people and make them go to 30 meetings?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Phase 1 and 2?

JOHN CARLSON: Well -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

JOHN CARLSON: - yeah. Where did it originate or how did it originate?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mike Hein and Chuck Huckleberry.

JOHN CARLSON: Chuck Huckleberry -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: And Mike Hein.

JOHN CARLSON: - and Mike Hein.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: As I remember, the County Administrator sent - sent a

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

letter to the City saying - committing I think it was \$150,000 towards a \$300,000 scope of work and kind of reaching the hand out so that the City would also commit resources and they'd establish a regional - a regional water planning exercise and -

JOHN CARLSON: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - it resulted in the scope of work after some time; is that correct?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah, it actually goes back farther than that -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Oh, yeah. No -

MARK STRATTON: - that would be even -

BRUCE GUNGLE: It goes back to the Proposition.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - I got it.

MARK STRATTON: No, it goes back farther.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So I guess my question -

BRUCE GUNGLE: It's almost back to prehistory.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So the question is: Does Number 13 in the location that it is right now provide enough oomph to what you would like to see happen?

BRUCE GUNGLE: I think it's fine.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I can live with it.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So I'm going to move us ahead to . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: Jim, I'll second that motion now.

METTE BROGDEN: Chris? Chris?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHRIS AVERY: (Inaudible; no microphone.)

BONNIE POULOS: You need a -

JOHN CARLSON: Give him a mic.

BONNIE POULOS: You need a microphone.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Use the mic, please.

CHRIS AVERY: While we're on Recommendation 1, there's a bit of language there that caught the eye of Staff, and that's basically on paragraph - what is it, 11? No, 10, former paragraph 10, where it says at the last sentence, "Decide on what should be the entity that should manage stormwater resources."

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

CHRIS AVERY: That decision's already been made both within the County and within the City and I just - I think that sentence is unnecessary.

METTE BROGDEN: Is it okay to delete, everybody?

MARCELINO FLORES: That'll be good. That way we don't have an unlucky number 13.

CHRIS AVERY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: No, no, it's just the sentence.

CHRIS AVERY: Yeah, it's just -

MARCELINO FLORES: Oh, we still have an unlucky 13.

CHRIS AVERY: The first sentence is fine; it's just that there's already some existing permits and regulations and entities out there that manage stormwater at different scales.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

JOHN CARLSON: Let's cut you off and go on.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Number 2. Recommendation Number 2. Assure effective community participation. Is there any changes to this section that people want to recommend? Marcelino?

MARCELINO FLORES: Well, yeah, I think I got clarification on Recommendation 1, but Recommendation 2, specific one, I think that's a pretty big calling to get the community to agree and I think it would be an ongoing process or some sort of, you know, iterative process. I think -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

MARCELINO FLORES: - Mr. - Mr. Carlson had said, you know, 20 years from now our kids are going to be facing a new definition of sustainability, so -

METTE BROGDEN: So would you like that deleted?

MARCELINO FLORES: - getting . . .

BONNIE POULOS: How about input?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: How about "input" rather than "agreement," Marcelino?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Get community "input."

MARK STRATTON: That would mean . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: How about "work toward?"

MARCELINO FLORES: Maybe regular - regularly.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: "Regular input?"

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARCELINO FLORES: "Regular input."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: And put "to help determine and realize a sustainable water future."

METTE BROGDEN: It says, "Get regular community input on the definition of sustainability because planning for a sustainable water future requires community agreement." That's okay? Anything else in this section?

BRUCE GUNGLE: That's - if I may.

METTE BROGDEN: Yes, you may.

BRUCE GUNGLE: That's just giving up on the whole - the whole idea of achieving some communal sense of what the hell sustainability is. I mean, at a minimum, I would - I would suggest language that says "get work toward community agreement," or "continue to work toward community agreement," so that we maintain the goal of some kind of shared understanding of what we mean when we say "sustainability."

METTE BROGDEN: "Continued work towards community consensus on the definition?"

BRUCE GUNGLE: Sure.

MARCELINO FLORES: And, also, this - this group we talk about a working definition of that, don't we? Or did that come out? Do we still have the working definition or did that disappear?

BRUCE GUNGLE: We just shoveled it under the rug, I think.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: I like “work toward” (inaudible; no microphone).

Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Consensus.

BONNIE POULOS: And I like “work toward.”

METTE BROGDEN: So now it says “continued work toward community consensus on the definition of sustainability because planning for a sustainable water future requires community agreement.” That’s okay?

BRUCE GUNGLE: “A community?”

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Thank you.

METTE BROGDEN: Anything else in this section?

Recommendation Number 3. Address cost issues more fully. And may I just point out that we only have one more thing on the Staff section to do after this section. One tiny thing and then we’re done with the Committee Report. Okay. Any changes in section - or Recommendation 3? Address cost issues more fully.

Bruce, did you want to do your second of Jim’s original motion?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: He seconded and then violated it.

METTE BROGDEN: Wait a minute. Mark?

MARK STRATTON: On Number 5, it’s “wheeling” not “wheel in.”

METTE BROGDEN: Wheeling.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right, wheeling. Right.

BONNIE POULOS: And it’s actually further on in (inaudible; no

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

microphone) -

METTE BROGDEN: It's further on?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, the next-to-last word.

BONNIE POULOS: - word of that -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: The last sentence.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Page 53.

METTE BROGDEN: Copy edits we will get, folks. Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: All right, Jim.

METTE BROGDEN: Jim, do you want to restate your motion?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, I want to make a procedural point first and then restate it. Well, no. I'm just moving that - that the Committee accept Section 3 E.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Second, with I assume all of the revisions we've made

-

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes, yes.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - including inserting your -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: Oh, this is Section . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: - thing in there.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, this is just Section E, not that.

METTE BROGDEN: We already did D.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We already did D.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: We already vote - we already did D -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: - okay? So Section E -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Any objection to that? Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Done. So now we have to go back to page 45. This was in the sustainability section; we promised to revisit this section. The one thing that was deleted was "Renewable water may not be truly renewable if its use can be revoked by another jurisdiction or if its removal does not - does harm to the environment elsewhere." And Bonnie reserved the right to revisit this 'cause she wasn't sure if she could accept this deletion. We are on page 45.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right above that - there you go.

METTE BROGDEN: Right above the . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: Well . . . sorry.

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: If . . . if we were to break this into two parts, the first part ending at the word "jurisdiction," so "Renewable water may not be truly renewable if its use can be revoked by another jurisdiction;" that's a statement of fact. I don't see how anyone could disagree with that.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We had this conversation once before and you

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

objected to that definition of renewable; it's not the normal definition of renewable, and I would say that - that that statement means that no source of water can be renewable, because every source of water is controlled. I mean, our - our groundwater's controlled by the legislature. Our CAP water's controlled by -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, that's defined.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - by the laws of the river. Anybody can take our CAP water away from us.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So, by definition, it's not how the word "renewable" is used normally.

METTE BROGDEN: Jim? Jim's comment reminds me that another place that this concern on the part of Bonnie and Bruce could be addressed is in the next section on addressing uncertainty. If you go to the bottom of page 46, there's a statement, "Renewable supplies are continuously at risk;" that may be too strong, but you could say something in there. Rob?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I was thinking about this issue as well and I just came up - this is not well-worded - but availability in volume of renewable water supplies are not guaranteed as they rely on a distant origin, extra-regional climatic conditions, and must be brought to our area by mechanical means. Future legal issues pertaining to renewable sources may also be a limiting factors." You know, these are just broad statements -

BRUCE GUNGLE: How - how did you begin that again?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Availability - availability -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: "Availability in volume of renewable water supplies are not guaranteed as they rely on a distant origin" or on distant - or whatever, you can reword it -

BRUCE GUNGLE: I would suggest -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - extra-regional -

BRUCE GUNGLE: - you say it "as they may rely."

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Right. "Extra-regional climatic conditions, and must be brought to our area through mechanical means."

BRUCE GUNGLE: And are you proposing that be in - in addressing uncertainty?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah, that would be part of the uncertainty. "Future legal issues pertaining to renewable sources may also be a limiting factor." I mean, these are all things - this is all uncertainties.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, you're striking out rainwater that goes into your wellfield that might be within our basin.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Actually, in my opinion, that's no longer renewable because we're sucking it up faster than it can be renewed by -

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah, but -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - (inaudible; speaking over one another).

JOHN CARLSON: - a portion of it is renewable.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Well . . .

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me explain my problem with the sentence. I'm not - I think it's right that we have to - to acknowledge and be prepared to deal with the fact that - that our water supplies are controlled by laws -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - that's absolutely true. I just don't like to make that a definition of renewable.

JOHN CARLSON: It has something (inaudible; speaking over one another) -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So if - if it has something under a different section, than I'd be more than happy to support that. Did you want - more to say?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: No, no.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No. Okay.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: That's actually where I was . . .

JOHN CARLSON: The whims of nature, which was God the last I heard, that's a big factor, too.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So I just enlarged the font there.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Thank you.

MARCELINO FLORES: As far as -

METTE BROGDEN: This is on the uncertainty section. Okay.

MARCELINO FLORES: On the future legal issues, but - but, you know, the past legal precedents or the right, you know, the - the use it or lose it, I mean, we're

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

already dealing with an over-allocated Colorado River so, I mean, that's - that's - but the allocation is - is by law; right? Or . . .

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Secretary of Interior to reconvene the . . . all it takes to change that law is for the Secretary of Interior to reconvene a group of states and knock their heads together and force them to - to change the law. I mean, that would be difficult -

JOHN CARLSON: They can't change it. They're going to have to go back through Congress.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Well, yeah. Well, an act of Congress. I mean, it can happen. I doubt it.

METTE BROGDEN: Bonnie?

BONNIE POULOS: I didn't work on this since the last meeting and I thank Rob for coming up with language that expresses some of the concerns that I have about terming - using the term "renewable" for water supplies that . . . yeah. And I also think that putting it in this section makes sense, so I can support that if that matters.

MARK STRATTON: So we'll delete it from defining sustainability and add it to uncertainty?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

MARK STRATTON: Good.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So let us look here at this section. Is the first sentence too strong?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yes.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Renewable supplies are continuously at risk.

MARK STRATTON: They are.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: May be.

METTE BROGDEN: May be at risk.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, are we -

VINCE VASQUEZ: But, no, I like "is not guaranteed." I mean, that's -  
that's a better . . .

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Or "not guaranteed." That's fine.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I mean, that says the same thing as what you're  
saying without - it sounds -

MARK STRATTON: That's better.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Delete - delete the first sentence.

MARK STRATTON: Or "not guaranteed."

JOSEPH MAHER: It's redundant.

METTE BROGDEN: Sorry. I had too many things coming in. Renewable  
supplies - what's the language?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Just take - just take care of -

BONNIE POULOS: Delete the first sentence.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - renewable supplies - just get rid of renewable  
supplies.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Oh, I see. Yeah, right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: There you go.

METTE BROGDEN: Chris?

CHRIS AVERY: One of the concepts that - that I think about is that renewable supplies are more reliable than mined groundwater, but that doesn't mean that they're - you could say something like "renewable supplies are more reliable than mined groundwater that's basically guaranteed deficit, but they're not immune from risk," or they're not - it - they're - they're better than the alternative, but they're not fully risk-free. And I think what you're doing without saying that renewable supplies are more reliable than mined groundwater - that's the alternative - the alternative is not between fully-guaranteed supplies and renewable supplies. The alternative is between guaranteed depletion and use of renewable supplies and, perhaps, if you put it in some context then you deal with the fact that, yes, they are more reliable than mined groundwater, but they're not free from concern.

JOHN CARLSON: I'm totally confused. I thought we argued for six and a half minutes and said there's no such thing as a renewable supply. So what are you doing - you know, I mean, I think I know what is there - but are not there, and I realize the danger but we - we ignored all that discussion we had 26 minutes ago.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Right. But one of the thing - when Chris was making his comment, one of the things that brought to my mind is that my statement's actually not really correct. If you consider groundwater - if you consider rainwater harvesting a renewable resource, then my statement really doesn't work as well.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: So how would you change it?

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, no -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: You'd have to say -

VINCE VASQUEZ: - availability in volume, I mean, right now we're at 50% in this - the - this year this is - I love this stat - the stat because I think it's important for this - this year the snow pack in the Colorado was 105% of normal. This year, our - our precipitation in this valley is 50% of normal. So we have a gift with the CAP canal and all the really subsidized infrastructure and the toys that the federal government gave us that allows us to buffer from these really erratic periods in - in kind of precipitation. So we should not undersell or under- - understate the importance or the gift that was given to us with the CAP canal or the dams that go along with it.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I'm not saying that. I'm just saying - I'm saying that we have several - we have other renewable resources available to us than CAP water and so - so we can just reword this in a way that -

BONNIE POULOS: But your statement - but your statement captures that because you're talking about climatic changes which is -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Right.

BONNIE POULOS: - going to influence the -

MARCELINO FLORES: Could you -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - actually, extra-regional - you could be extra-regional and local just - just . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: Are we talking -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - one addition in there (inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: My understanding was that . . . renewable had kind of a specific meaning which included CAP water and, up in Phoenix, Salt River Project. And so if you want to call that “renewable” with a capital “R,” it means something very specific which is what you’re addressing in your comment and which is the way I took it.

Then there’s “renewable,” the word in the dictionary which is what John’s -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - referring to. So there’s a distinction here. The fact remains that when Tucson Water and the City talk about renewable water, they’re going to continue to talk about CAP; they’re not going to talk about water harvesting. So I think - I think your statement is fine and let’s roll.

MARCELINO FLORES: If you followed “renewable” with a capital and then, you know, the comma, not the lower-case renewable or something or . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Chris?

JOHN CARLSON: Give him a - give him a . . .

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Where’s all the microphones?

CHRIS AVERY: We typical talk about renewable water supplies as being surface water supplies, effluent and local water supplies; that does include rainwater and stormwater harvesting.

JOHN CARLSON: It does not?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHRIS AVERY: It does.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

CHRIS AVERY: Renewable water supplies include surface water -

METTE BROGDEN: Surface?

CHRIS AVERY: - surface water, effluent and local sources of supply, such as rainwater or stormwater. But even rainwater or stormwater are surprisingly -

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Unguaranteed.

CHRIS AVERY: - not guaranteed. If we were - if we were to a rainfall regime like they have at Yuma, you're not going to get much out of rainwater harvesting. So I think that that comment is - is good for all renewable water supplies.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah, so we just in the, you know, the extra-regional and local climatic conditions.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, don't you think we ought to tell 'em what "renewable" means?

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah, and so we will add - we will add the definition in there, okay?

JOHN CARLSON: It's there, I can't read it.

METTE BROGDEN: It says, "Surface water - Renewable surface water, effluent, local sources." And this - actually, I think there's a definition part going into the report at a certain point, so this definition will be - we can just reference the definition -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Okay.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: - page, okay? All right. Are we agreed?

JOHN CARLSON: Well, why isn't groundwater part of the renewable?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It is.

JOHN CARLSON: We're over-drafted - but we're over-drafting the Colorado, we're over-drafting the stormwater, we're over-drafting the sewer water.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: We're screwed. We don't even have (inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Can I make -

METTE BROGDEN: Jim?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - a point on page 46?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I'm not going to ask for a change; it's just I want to - if you go to the very first checkmark, we're making the following specific recommendations to manage risk: Use scenario planning as a tool to assess the changing plan - planned environment," blahdity, blah. Tucson Water and Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department already do that, but it sound likes we're recommending to people to do things that they're not doing. I'm not going to ask for a change, but I just think that it's strange to recommend people to do things that they're already doing.

BONNIE POULOS: I thought we talked about this last time and said to use -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Continue.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: - the word "continue to use."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. I didn't see it.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. There's - there's an entire sentence -

BONNIE POULOS: It wasn't there, but I thought we had that -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: There's an entire sentence added at the end of that paragraph. In all cases - I mean - yeah, scenario planning is currently undertaken by both Tucson Water and Pima County Wastewater and should be continued.

MARK STRATTON: I think it needs to be at the beginning.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I think it needs to be at . . .

BONNIE POULOS: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Then I'm happy. I didn't - I didn't see that.

JOHN CARLSON: (Inaudible) extra.

MARCELINO FLORES: And I think - yeah - and just to add, I think the scenario planning is - is becoming more proactive and especially in predicting where population and growth will be occurring as evidenced by the PAG model that's being developed and - and other I guess hallmarks that they've done.

METTE BROGDEN: What happened there? I don't know. Okay. There we go. Do we have a motion about accepting the Section III of the report as the

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Committee's recommendations?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I move that we accept Section III, Oversight Committee's Concerns and Findings as amended tonight.

MARK STRATTON: Second.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Something just occurred to me about the previous section (inaudible; no microphone) because - because the definition now includes local sources, we should say . . .

BONNIE POULOS: Some renewable?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - as - in the third line, "as they may rely on a distant origin."

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah, yeah, yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Uh-huh, right.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Right. Thank you.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Now the motion?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I amend my motion to accept that.

METTE BROGDEN: Did you call for the vote? Did it get seconded?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah. Okay. Did you vote?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Any objections?

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, I interrupted the vote.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Done.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Done.

METTE BROGDEN: Done?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Done.

METTE BROGDEN: All right. Very good. Let's see. It's 6:30, do you want to take a break?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, I'm sorry. Let me -

METTE BROGDEN: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - go - go back to the graph on page 44, that one there, the bottom with the four things, and it seems to me it's important that we note that those are all interactive. Somehow there has to be some sort of a way of graphing it so -

BONNIE POULOS: Didn't we make a circle? Didn't we (inaudible; speaking over one another) -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I thought that was going to happen. I thought that was going to happen and I don't see it there, so I just want to bring it up again -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - okay?

METTE BROGDEN: So just help us with that afterwards -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - okay?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: Put a big circle -

METTE BROGDEN: Just draw - draw it on your sheet and hand it to me the way you want it and we'll do it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, you want to see me draw it?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I -

MARCELINO FLORES: (Inaudible; speaking over one another) - diagram.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Now my question -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: One last thing, please. One last thing. At the end on page 53, after we've gone through and we've approved everything on - on number III, on Section III, I think that we should offer to each Committee members (sic) the right to make their own comments if they want to add their own comments, as long as they put their name to 'em, as long as they don't exceed one page -

METTE BROGDEN: For Section III?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: At the end of Section III -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - Roman Numeral III is Committee concerns, blahdity, blah, and then you could have a Section F if you wanted where they would - they would - I think it's best that they put it there; that's my recommendation.

JOHN CARLSON: Why Roman Numeral III? I'm confused.

MARK STRATTON: Well, that's the section -

METTE BROGDEN: Now, Jim, are you talking about comments for

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Section III to be put at the end of Section III, or are you talking about all comments about all parts of the report?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, I was talking about if - if there are members whose interests are not included in what we have and they want to make a statement about it, that would be the place to make the statement.

METTE BROGDEN: What we have meeting - what the Committee has in Section III or the report -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: The whole report.

METTE BROGDEN: - as a whole? Okay. So as - the report as a whole.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That's -

METTE BROGDEN: That's where you'd put it?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We don't have to decide on that now, I just wanted -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - to raise that as a possibility.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So we're going to raise that as a possibility and we're going to move on.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I would also like just to - well, while we're raising possibilities, that we - that the Committee Report precede the Staff Report in order as - as we move through the document; just a possibility.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Can you - I'm going to put that - a placeholder on that and we'll talk about it after we've looked at the Staff Report. And

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

then, Vince, can you assume responsibility for bringing that up again because I probably will forget?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Sure.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you. Okay. Now it is 22 minutes before 7:00.

Do you want to take a break before we come back and do the Staff?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So please come back at ten minutes to the hour. Thank you.

\* \* \* \* \*

(Break taken at this time.)

\* \* \* \* \*

(Inaudible; multiples speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Vince?

JOHN CARLSON: Hey, Jim, are you renewable too?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: We're not?

JOSEPH MAHER: We're recyclable.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That's right. Well, waste product.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. We're starting on page 9. And I want to explain what's in this section. Page 9. This is the Staff section. The Staff met this morning to look at the italicized red notations from your - from the draft for this - for the December 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting. And so we're showing you, number one, where changes were

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

incorporated based on your wording requests; and, number two, where changes were recorded based on public input, okay? So we'll just go through those briefly and then we're going to go back bucket-by-bucket and check in to see where people are in terms of their support, okay?

So this is the first one, the first section in red was added per SAHBA, and from now on anything that has an "SB" is a comment coming in from SAHBA, okay?

JOHN CARLSON: We accept it or what?

METTE BROGDEN: No, we're just showing you what's there. So what was deleted is, "Water in and of itself does not provide answers for how to manage growth in a sustainable manner. A wiser approach is to develop a rational plan." And what the Staff agreed with from SAHBA is a request to change that verbiage to, "What is needed is a rational plan for growth," okay?

Page 9. Do you want to see all these changes that happened because of public input -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - that Staff has - you do want to see it, okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well -

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: What are the ground (inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, what are the ground rules? Remind them -

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - what the ground - us what the ground rules are.

METTE BROGDEN: The ground rules are that we are not accepting any more changes to the Staff Report at this point, okay? We're just showing you what has been done as a result of Committee input about certain things and the public input. Yes?

NICOLE EWING-GAVIN: (Inaudible; no microphone).

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: It's not on.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That's in the introduction. We're not talking about that yet.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: You broke it. You broke it.

NICOLE EWING-GAVIN: Okay. So how we went about deciding what changes to make was basically where we felt like we were making clarifying statements that weren't changing the intent or content of technical papers and what we were trying to say here. So it was just - in - in those cases, we did not address those comments.

METTE BROGDEN: And that was the same criteria that was applied to Committee comments, as well, okay? So you got out on - for the December 3<sup>rd</sup> draft changes that were highlighted in red. The ones that were italicized were taken up by the Staff in their meeting this morning. The ones that were simply comments, I put in there to remind you that you guys had commented about that, but they're not going into the report unless you elect individually to add them. And Jim made the suggestion before break that those be added in Section III F, okay?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

JOHN CARLSON: In other words, the Committee cannot change it?

METTE BROGDEN: That's right.

JOHN CARLSON: (Inaudible) vote, but we can add it as individuals at the end?

METTE BROGDEN: You can make a comment as an individual at the end about it, okay?

So what we're going to do right now is go through and show you what the changes were; this will not take us very long just so that you know. Then we're going to go back and we're going to talk about or maybe vote on whether the Committee accepts the goals and recommendations. We're going to do a vote on that, okay?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Will we do a separate vote on the Committee Report and the . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We've already voted on the Committee Report.

MARCELINO FLORES: The Committee's Report . . .

METTE BROGDEN: So this is just the Staff.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Now we're talking about Section . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: And we approve - that was - that was the last thing we did -

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - that we approved it. Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

VINCE VASQUEZ: And so - but - but this will be voted on separately?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes.

VINCE VASQUEZ: All right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. And we're going to separate that out even further. You may not - the - the issues section is basically a reiteration of what occurred in the technical papers, is it not? The issues discussions pretty much?

MELANEY SEACAT: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

MELANEY SEACAT: (Inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: Yes. Okay. So we're going to separate this out because we want to be able to say the Committee by a vote of blah, blah, blah, recommends acceptance of - or supports the goals and recommendations of the Staff.

JOHN CARLSON: We're not going to change or try to change anything?

METTE BROGDEN: No. The second thing that we're going to do is if you have something in the issues section that you want to bring up and it's really critical for discussion, you can do so, but mainly what we would ask you to do is if there's a big disagreement in any of the narratives around issues, that you write the one-pager that would be attached at the end of Section III under F, okay? All right. So the next change is managing growth rather than directing growth both in its form and location is critical to creating a sustainable water future. This was from SAHBA and was incorporated by the Staff.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Wait. Can you say that again? I'm sorry. Man- -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: We're at the bottom of page 9, if you look up here I've increased the font so you can see the change. They - they substituted the word "managing" for "directing" growth.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Can I make a suggestion to the Committee that we're better off following what's up on the screen -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - than our - our . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Look on the screen because I'm going to enlarge the font for you, okay?

MARCELINO FLORES: And we get the lights then in that case?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Sure, we can - we can dull the lights.

MARK STRATTON: Oh, much better.

JOHN CARLSON: Wow.

MARK STRATTON: Hey, there's something written up there.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible; no microphone) done something useful today. That works for you guys.

METTE BROGDEN: Can you see it without enlarging the font or do you need the font enlarged still?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: No.

METTE BROGDEN: Don't enlarge it?

BONNIE POULOS: Do.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Do if it's easier.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: Please.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: All right. So what did they do now?

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Now, what happened in this section, these were wording changes requested by people on the Committee.

JOSEPH MAHER: Including density . . .

METTE BROGDEN; Good urban design including density in integrated land use - it says a variety of benefits including, okay?

There was a bullet point deleted about increased density increases public health.

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay? And instead it was changed to “more walkable neighborhoods which contribute to improved public health.” In other words, more walking, better health, okay? And then added was “walkable urban spaces.”

MARK STRATTON: But how is that different than more walkable neighborhoods?

METTE BROGDEN: They added the public health benefit of having - oh, how is the walkable urban spaces?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: One is neighborhoods; one is urban space.

BRUCE GUNGLE: It's residential versus commercial.

METTE BROGDEN: Residential versus commercial, okay?

TINA LEE: Combine this -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah.

TINA LEE: - (inaudible; no microphone) neighborhoods and urban spaces?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Why don't you say "walkable communities" or "walkable community?"

BRUCE GUNGLE: Just put in urban spaces.

VINCE VASQUEZ: "Pedestrian-friendly community."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're not editing this. We're just being told what they did.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you, Jim. Put that hammer down. Okay. And the -

JOHN CARLSON: (Inaudible; multiple speakers) from the fact that it should be added.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Oh, this is better.

METTE BROGDEN: More efficient - they added, "more efficient delivery of public services and infrastructure," okay? Those are the changes made.

MARK STRATTON: Where's that "incent" over there?

METTE BROGDEN: Hey.

VINCE VASQUEZ: What was that deleted that you just went by? Is that something we should be concerned with?

METTE BROGDEN: These are all - remember when you got the draft this time, some of the red comments were italicized and some were not.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: The italicized ones were the wording changes the Staff would take up; the ones that were not were comments that people had made at various points. So I left it up to you, if you put that comment in, whether or not you need to submit a one-pager, up to one-pager, but they're not in the report anymore.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Eight-point font.

METTE BROGDEN: What?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We'll argue about the font on the one page later.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Wide margins, eight-point font.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Next one.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Half-spaced.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I like that.

METTE BROGDEN: "City and County should take steps to encourage growth and new development in areas that are within or adjacent to the existing built environment" - sorry -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're not editing.

METTE BROGDEN: - "are outside the conservation land system and are identified as most suitable for development."

Then below, "Infill should be done in a manner that is economically, environmentally and socially advantageous." Okay?

Let's see. Let's just get some context for this. This is - Staff, you may

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

have to help me where we are in this report. 2.1 of - this is the - still the -

MELANEY SEACAT: Integrated land use -

METTE BROGDEN: - comprehensive section? Yeah. Okay. So, "This analysis should be done at the Southern Arizona and Sun Corridor scales." This is a SAHBA addition. Yes.

MARCELINO FLORES: The comment that I had submitted regarding kind of - I think I said like 2.4 (inaudible; no microphone) it to . . . 2.1, 2.2 and then 2.3.

METTE BROGDEN: Right.

MARCELINO FLORES: That's something I'll just have to submit as far as - because there's initial relation between each of these goals that I think is important.

METTE BROGDEN: Right.

MARCELINO FLORES: (Inaudible; no microphone) to jump into the acquisition of land when you haven't gone through, you know, like - like I see 2.4 happening before.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Yes, this is something that you would have to submit -

MARCELINO FLORES: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: - as a comment.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What do the yellow highlights mean again?

METTE BROGDEN: These were additions to the Staff Report that you got as part of the draft for today.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, okay. Okay. That's -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So you should have already reviewed these.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: I just haven't changed the highlighting yet. Okay.

This was from our discussion last time about the obligated service area and the Committee wanted to add this bullet about social equity, and the Staff wanted it to read, "social equity and social justice considerations" instead of "social equity implications of development." Okay?

Now we're going into the Respect for Environment section.

MELANEY SEACAT: Mette?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes?

MELANEY SEACAT: I'd just like to provide a clarifying comment to the question about the yellow.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

MELANEY SEACAT: Staff developed that text in response to text that the Committee had come up with that was originally in the unresolved issues section, and there were two comments there. There was three originally; one dealt with the obligated service area; that went away because of the discussion at the last meeting. The other two had to do with water quality and concerns about emerging contaminants, and the other one had to do with decentralized wastewater treatment facilities and the ROMP, and we addressed that by providing the clarifying text in yellow. So we're addressing facility issues, as well as water quality issues in the water supply section. I just wanted to make sure that the Committee understood that it was in response to their

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

comments.

MARK STRATTON: Thank you.

JOHN CARLSON: In other words, it's our fault.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you. Okay. So now we go into the environment. We replaced "should" - replaced the word "must" with "should" per SAHBA. Okay.

MARK STRATTON: DWR is (inaudible; no microphone).

MARCELINO FLORES: Is that DWR?

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: So this is at the end of Goal Number 1 in the environmental section. And the Staff deleted quite a large section that said this protection will be accomplished by evaluating the feasibility of prohibiting where legally possible new nonexempt wells and limiting pumping, blah, blah, blah. And that whole section is replaced with the City and County should promote changes to state law regarding drilling and pumping of wells within and near shallow groundwater systems.

MELANEY SEACAT: And that came from a letter from ADWR clarifying that this, in fact, was an issue that had to be handled at the state level.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Thank you. It's a good thing Staff is here.  
Okay.

These are more additions from SAHBA. "Restoration can also be viewed as a local economic and community building opportunity." Also from SAHBA in the

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

recommendations - did you want to go back to that?

BONNIE POULOS: Why “can” instead of “should?”

JOHN CARLSON: We’re making a moral judgment . . . when you say “should.”

METTE BROGDEN: I don’t know but there - this was done in response to SAHBA, so can’t change it.

Okay. Recommendations 2.1 and 2.2 and 2.3, everything that did read “will” now reads “should.” The City and County “should” work with stakeholders. The City and County “should” work with stakeholders, et cetera. Another one of those “should” instead of “will” on 3.2. Okay.

Now, this was an addition - this is in 4.1 - this is on goal - “Ensure the future of riparian and aquatic habitat along the effluent-dependent reach of the Santa Cruz River,” and this was an addition. “For example, the emphasis should be on areas” - this is . . . the City and County can identify portfolio multipurpose projects for long-term implementation. “For example, the emphasis should be on areas such as the reach between the Rillito and Canada Del Oro confluence where stormwater flows are more concentrated.” Okay?

That one you don’t need to see. Okay. Somebody on the Committee wanted to make sure that we were saying “rainwater” - “City and County should encourage rainwater - rainwater harvesting on both residential and commercial properties” was the original language and they added in “government properties” as well. So the government was being a good example. Residential, commercial and

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

government.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: It should say (inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: That's a copy edit. Okay.

Now I want to highlight this one. There was a comment from the Committee about Goal Number 3, "Address regulatory barriers to maximizing local supplies." And the Committee wanted to know, "Can rain and stormwater supplies also be mentioned in this section?" And the answer from Staff is - does Staff want to address this?

ED CURLEY: I think the problem we had with that was that the regulatory controls for effluent are much more defined, so the water and effluent situation is much more defined than the rainwater and stormwater supply which are mostly handled from their pollutant aspects not from their water provision aspects. So it doesn't really quite fit. We can acknowledge it, but it's not the same kind of regulatory structure.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHRIS AVERY: For - for one - one more point here, that is that in Arizona there aren't any legal impediments to harvesting rainwater as - on a quantity issue; there may be some quality concerns.

And, with respect to surface water, if it is surface water, then this allocation is governed by the principle of prior appropriation which no recommendation from this Committee is going to do anything to affect. So I think that the regulatory environment for rainwater and stormwater harvesting is not a restrictive factor in the

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

same way it is with respect to effluent reclaimed water usage.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, I think I caught some information back that in Colorado you cannot catch and utilize rainwater -

CHRIS AVERY: Exactly.

JOHN CARLSON: - and we should keep - that should be keep - kept aware of.

CHRIS AVERY: Yeah, in Colorado they just made a very limited exception to that rule, but it's still the case in Utah, for example, that you can't harvest rainwater.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: You can't?

METTE BROGDEN: You cannot.

CHRIS AVERY: You - you cannot in Utah harvest rainwater.

CHRIS BROOKS: Cannot harvest rainwater in an AMA as an assured water supply.

CHRIS AVERY: Correct.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah.

CHRIS BROOKS: And if you could - if that could be changed then (inaudible; no microphone).

CHRIS AVERY: Rainwater can show up in an AMA context, though, as diminished demand. So the problem is that the - it's very difficult to get rainwater as a supply from - it's that - it's the dichotomy that we talked about, about a year ago, about

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

from a water provider perspective, rainwater and stormwater harvesting are diminished demand. From a homeowner perspective or from a landowner perspective, rainwater and stormwater are sources of supply, and there's a - it's kind of like looking at one of those, you know, old lady/young lady drawings; it just depends on what perspective you have from the beginning.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Careful, careful.

(Laughter.)

CHRIS AVERY: Old man/old - old . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That was the attorney from the City.

CHRIS AVERY: Old man - you know - you all know what I'm talking about, old man/young man drawings -

(Multiple speakers.)

CHRIS AVERY: - and - and, you know - so from - from a water provider - from a water provider perspective, we see rainwater and stormwater harvesting from a different lense than - than homeowners and landowners do and that's the - the issue. But I think you can - you know, if for example, our customers were implementing widespread rainwater and stormwater harvesting and their consumption were to drop some measurable percentage, we could go into DWR and get an assured water supply based on that lower demonstrated per-capita usage. So it kind of comes in by the back door.

CHRIS BROOKS: Well, the point that I would make on that is that because you cannot get an assured water supply for, say, a development (inaudible; no

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

microphone) more, you would not be able to build a development like that using rainwater harvesting as a water supply unless the regulations were changed.

CHRIS AVERY: Okay. That's a good point.

CHRIS BROOKS: So - and I could see where - I mean, the City of Tucson, Tucson Water -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Chris, will you talk into a microphone, please?

CHRIS AVERY: Here, I stole it.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: It's right behind you.

CHRIS BROOKS: The City of Tucson, Tucson Water, don't necessarily have a horse in that race, so why should they be out there pushing those changes? But I think as a region maybe it's something that we should be looking at so that that option is available, and then if people wanted to - to go after those things, they'd be able to get financing for something like that because they would be able to have an assured water supply.

JOHN CARLSON: You get one page to say all that.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Sounds like a comment -

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - a one-page comment.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. I want to go on to Goal Number 4. Foster increased use of reclaimed water through system expansions, increased effluent allocations and incentives.

TINA LEE: That's my comment - and Chris and I talked - and delete it;

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

that's -

METTE BROGDEN: Delete it?

TINA LEE: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHRIS BROOKS: Done.

TINA LEE: Done.

JOHN CARLSON: We need more people like you on this Committee.

TINA LEE: Delete, delete.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. 4.4 was changed to try and reflect that Staff - that Staff will do a development review. Staff will review feasibility of use of reclaimed water in specific developments. Can you say a little bit more about this one, somebody from the Staff?

NICOLE EWING-GAVIN: So the idea that as new development requests come in, you know, part of the development review process would be to look, "Is this near a reclaimed line? Is there any, you know, possibility that it could be feasible to hook up this development to reclaimed and - and bring that forward as an option if it was?" It wouldn't put onus on the developer to do it, an evaluation or anything; it would be sort of a thing that Staff would check, so . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So they looked at this 'cause somebody had not supported that, so they tried to address it. Okay.

There was nothing in Demand Management; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, there was something.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: No, that was just my - my copy edit from a long time ago. Okay. That's it. Those were the changes.

JOHN CARLSON: We get to go home?

METTE BROGDEN: So now what I think we should do first is go bucket by bucket and -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - maybe call for a vote on support of recommendations - goal and recommendations by bucket. Does that sound . . .

MELANEY SEACAT: Turn the lights back on?

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah, let's turn the lights back on.

JOHN CARLSON: What's "bucket" mean?

MARCELINO FLORES: Are we taking - was it the old - the bucket or specific recommendations?

METTE BROGDEN: So I think the first thing is we are talking about goals and recommendations by bucket.

MARCELINO FLORES: By bucket.

METTE BROGDEN: Does that make sense? How did you want to do this?

JOHN CARLSON: What does "by bucket" mean?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: John, Respect for the Environment, Demand -

METTE BROGDEN: By section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - Management -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: Each section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - so the four sections -

METTE BROGDEN: So the four -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - sections are comp- - the first one is

Comprehensive Integrated Planning -

JOHN CARLSON: That's good.

METTE BROGDEN: - the goals and recommendations. So the question is: Does the Committee support the goals and recommendations of this section?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Without taking a vote, let me just ask:

Assuming that this is what Staff is putting out, and recognizing that we have an opportunity to comment - and I'm not asking for a vote, just for - kind of a show of hands to see where we're at - how many Committee members are willing to say they support Section II as written?

METTE BROGDEN: Goals and Recommendations.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Section II, Goals and Recommendations as written. How many people are willing to support it? Three, four, five . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: I support everything but most of the SAHBA changes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: One, two . . .

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah, I'm not - I'm not -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, they just . . .

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - real happy about the SAHBA changes -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me just -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Watered the whole damn thing down.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Could we - could we have your hands back up who are willing to support it as it's written right now? One, two, three -

MARCELINO FLORES: And you had said the one page, though.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - four, five, six. So six - yeah, one, two, three, four, five, so six to five right now. Okay. I just wanted to get a sense of where we're at.

JOHN CARLSON: Well, I'm not against it.

BONNIE POULOS: I think I want to go.

METTE BROGDEN: On all of them? I'm sorry . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I just asked for everything -

METTE BROGDEN: For the whole -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - now -

METTE BROGDEN: - oh, for the whole section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - if people want to go -

METTE BROGDEN: Section by section.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - section by section and . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: And then, you know, I think - I had taken some issues with just specific recommendations, as opposed to, you know, the overall goal, but we're just going to be looking at the big goal or both?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Are we going - are we going to go - going to go through the - each numbered recommendation and have Committee opinion on it? Is

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

that what we're doing?

METTE BROGDEN: The first -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I hope not.

METTE BROGDEN: - thing that we're trying to do - we're trying to do - we're trying to see the level of support for the sections, goals and recommendations.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: If I wanted to call for a vote, I think I have the majority to say accept the whole thing, but it's really split six to five.

METTE BROGDEN: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: If - if you - if the question were -

MARCELINO FLORES: The microphone.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - does - if the question were: Does the Committee generally support the - this document - well, that document, I think that the answer would be "Yes" if it were generally support.

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: There are specific things I think that - that any one of us would want to nit-pick on, and I don't think that it's - I don't think it's productive to nit-pick. I think that's our one-pager.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - I agree. That's what I was -

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - trying to suggest by saying, given the fact that

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Staff isn't going to change this, given the fact that we have the opportunity to comment -

MARCELINO FLORES: We had some input, our input went into it.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, in some of it. Are we generally willing to say, "Let's accept it?"

MARK STRATTON: I can agree with generally because there are some issues that I take -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes.

MARK STRATTON: - exception with; but, overall, yeah, I can - I can -

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah -

MARK STRATTON: - kinda agree with most.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - and then we have . . .

JOHN CARLSON: That's really smart.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Then . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: (Inaudible; no microphone).

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Come on, Vince.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: I want to get two more votes and he's changed the word to "generally."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Generally.

JOHN CARLSON: You can have my vote.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I make a motion that the Committee generally

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

accept the goals and - and recommendations section.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Jim, what does "generally accept" mean?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: With the acknowledgment that if people have specific issues that they wish to raise, they will raise 'em in the - in the Committee comment section.

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Could I ask one question before we go forward?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, we don't have a second on that motion yet.

TINA LEE: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. We have a second. Now it's open for discussion.

BRUCE GUNGLE: All right. Thanks. Will we be receiving copies with these changes that we've seen?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No. Of course, yes.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: When is the question.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, because we can't -

MARCELINO FLORES: Right.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - the - the basis of a lot of our comments here I think are related to changes that have been made since we showed up today.

METTE BROGDEN: I can get this out to you tomorrow by 5:00 o'clock.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, is the implication that we're going to come back for another meeting to vote on it?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: No.

METTE BROGDEN: No.

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, no, no.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, I wanted . . .

METTE BROGDEN: This is for them to develop their one-pagers.

BRUCE GUNGLE: No, I wanted to know that we're -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, okay. The one-page . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: - going to have - for our comments -

MARCELINO FLORES: And the one-pagers are going to be -

BRUCE GUNGLE: - before I -

MARCELINO FLORES: - due by when?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - was willing to vote for this.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Any other comments?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Well, my one statement on it is I think as a Committee - and I thought - our process in the Phase 1 study I thought was - was exemplary and our - that we went through line by line literally what Staff had essentially put together and we're able to make comment, deliberate, make concessions and - and we were able to work through that document. Toward the end of the day we were able to all, you know, hold our nose or completely support through vote going forward. I thought we did

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

a great job on that in our Committee section, which is why I think it should precede the Staff section, 'cause I think we - we actually did get to deliberate on it.

I - I - I applaud and actually really support a lot of the things that are in Staff's, but it's more of a 50% level of support. I don't know if that qualifies as generally support, and so I would have to vote against a recommendation to generally support, unless given the opportunity to really go through this as a Committee and - and offer up recommendations like we did in the past.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. We have a motion on the floor. Any more discussion?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - I'll quickly respond to that. Unless I'm mistaken, what we were commenting on in Phase 1 was a different animal; this is Staff's Report and we're just saying whether or not we happen to agree with it. There doesn't really seem to be room for our input.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right. Let me . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So here's the question: The Committee - does the Committee vote to support this statement?

MARCELINO FLORES: Generally.

METTE BROGDEN: Huh?

JOHN CARLSON: Generally.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Does the Committee vote to support this statement?

The Committee generally accepts -

JOHN CARLSON: Oh.

METTE BROGDEN: - goals and recommendations in the Staff section, noting that any specific issue - issues from individual Committee members can be found in Section III F?

MARCELINO FLORES: (Inaudible; no microphone) - just -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Accept that as a second?

MARCELINO FLORES: - ideas -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I accept that.

MARCELINO FLORES: - comments - it's not just issues, but ideas and comments. I mean . . . it doesn't all have to be bad.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right. I've got -

MARCELINO FLORES: (Inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - got tally sheet here and I just organized it alphabetically. So, Jim Barry, "Yes." Chris Brooks?

CHRIS BROOKS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: John Carlson?

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Marcelino Flores?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARCELINO FLORES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Bruce Gungle?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Rob Kulakofsky?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Tina Lee?

TINA LEE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Joseph Maher?

JOSEPH MAHER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Bonnie Poulos?

BONNIE POULOS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mark Stratton?

MARK STRATTON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Vince Vasquez?

VINCE VASQUEZ: No.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: You can't say yes. Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So, Vince, in the one-pager section that you're going to add, you can say something like, "I support half of what is in the Staff section, here's my" - but you've got one page . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: Eight-point font, wide margins.

METTE BROGDEN: You've got one page and really hit the high points, what are conceptually the issues. Yeah?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mette, the rule is one page. The rule is whatever font the report is in and the margins, that's what you have. What he says and how he says it, it's up to Vince. I would hope that it's positive comments, but whatever we say I would hope that they would be as positive as possible, but we'll - we'll take care of how we say what we say in our - we don't need a facilitator for that in all due respect.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I would - I would just add that, I mean, I think a lot of my comments generally going through this document are positive. I have a lot of strongly agree with a great deal of the recommendations, but there's just - there's language that - throughout that if I had the opportunity to talk to you guys and say, hey, what would - if I threw this word in there and it makes me feel better, would it make - would it still work for everybody? I think we could've gone through a process like that and come to a consensus. I understand the time constraints that we're moving through and we don't have the opportunity to do that and so, so be it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, just so I clarify at least where I'm coming from, it isn't an issue in Section II, Goals and Recommendations, whether we all agree with you; it's whether Staff wanted to put it in. The conversation would've been with Staff. We - we've - we've known that we have this deadline. We just have to finish it tonight. We can't do what you -

VINCE VASQUEZ: I - I accept that.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I accept that.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: I had one comment about the introduction.

METTE BROGDEN: Uh-huh.

BONNIE POULOS: On page 5, the last paragraph, I thought we had agreed at the last meeting that we were not going to call the outside individuals who wrote white papers “experts” but “consultants” and I didn’t see that change; it’s right where the map is. Go back under Phase - the Phase 2 - oop, you’re going too far. Where the map is. Go to the map. That paragraph right there . . . and outside “experts.” I thought we had agreed to call them “consultants.”

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Or you could say “consultants” - “experts” and “Jim Barry.”

BONNIE POULOS: Actually you’re the one I was referring to.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Now, we need to take up the question of the location.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Let me just say one thing about shared goals and recommendations; it’s not - I would recommend that you have an introduction for that section and that you have a graphic that shows what’s there so you can show four areas and maybe just -

METTE BROGDEN: That’s planned.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - list the -

METTE BROGDEN: That is planned.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - the goals.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah, there’s a summary page planned that shows

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Goals and Recommendations -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: - I believe.

BONNIE POULOS: Section II.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Section . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Section II is the Staff Report.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, it's supposed to say "Section II."

BONNIE POULOS: Oh, okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mette - Mette screwed up.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you for pointing that out, Jim.

BONNIE POULOS: You can leave it as Number III because we might need it.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Now, we need to take up the question of the location of the Staff Report. This - Vince, you were supposed to bring this back.

MARCELINO FLORES: Bring it back, bring it back.

METTE BROGDEN: Huh?

VINCE VASQUEZ: You did - you did.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, let me - let me bring up a procedural point if I might. I'm not sure who has the right to make the determination of what sections show up when. We wrote our section, Staff wrote their section. I think we tried to collaborate on Section I, but I don't know how we resolve the problem. If the Committee wants its section to go before the Staff's section, the Staff wants its to go before the

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Committee, I don't know how that gets resolved.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Probably goes to Mette.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Duke it out?

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, I - I - it's just a recommendation. I mean . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: If there is a con- - if there's controversy about it, I don't know how it's resolved.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Hold on one second. Point noted.

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Vince, why did you want to change it? Let's just have a little discussion so we understand -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, I understand we have the discussion. I just wanted to say it's not our vote.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, the - the reason - the reason being as I went through it, you know, of course there were things that we agreed and disagreed on collectively in that document, but I think it - it speaks I think to the greatest degree of what this process was supposed to be about, and it really - I think and covers a greater - a greater, you know, collective understanding of the issues at hand and it sets up kind of what I would argue are more of the technical sides of the policy discussion that the Staff Report present. It is a more values-laden paper which I think in kind of a hierarchy should precede the more technical policy recommendations that Staff has.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Mark?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARK STRATTON: Well - well, I would agree in principle. The problem is the way that the Staff Report is written, it outlines what all the Goals and Recommendations were that were identified in the scope of work, and so without really knowing what those are, it - it - (recording cuts out) - our portion of the report a little bit - yeah, you really don't know exactly what the context is without knowing what the goals and recommendations of Staff are that we're commenting on, so that's the only problem I have with it. I do agree that it would make more sense to put the values up front prior to the technical, but I - I just think the way it's written it just doesn't flow that way.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

MARCELINO FLORES: I - I agree with both Mark and Vince, but the - the - they - they said two different things but - but the reason I would agree -

METTE BROGDEN: There you go.

MARCELINO FLORES: - the reason I would agree is because, you know, perhaps, the Oversight Committee concerns and findings could utilize an introduction portion that's, you know, that may talk about the buckets at least and - and - I guess they're already planning for the - Section III, the Goals and Recommendations to have an introductory section, why not see how that can work?

JOHN CARLSON: The other thing is we're a temporary resource; have been knighted by these government entities. Staff is always available to them and what was - they did, they created this Committee so I think it should go first and then they can dig in what the - Staff expertise which is very good.

VINCE VASQUEZ: And I think we - we were the Oversight Committee,

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

we were in charge kind of - of overseeing this process that Staff has - has, you know, I think admirably put together, and our - our discussion as - to precede it I think is a nice lense through which the reader and the public to view the rest of the technical papers as, you know, we are the closest thing, you know, to those documents. I think our opinion, the lense that we create is - is a valuable - is a valuable addition in terms of the organization and how the public will read the document, and we spend a lot of time to not - to bury kind of our - our statements toward the end of the document.

METTE BROGDEN: Bruce?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, I think the very last thing you said is -

METTE BROGDEN: And then Joseph.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - probably your strongest argument, at least from my perspective. If we put what we have to say up front, likely it's going to be read. If we put it at the back, it's not. Staff's stuff is going to get read no matter what because that's what Mayor and Council and the Board of Sups is going to look for.

On the other hand, logically, I don't think it makes a lick of sense to put our stuff up front, if you just look at it purely logically, because our stuff is a response to Staff's Report really, ultimately, and it doesn't make sense. But if we want our stuff to be read, it needs to go up front I would argue.

METTE BROGDEN: I'm sorry. Joseph was first.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Joseph.

JOSEPH MAHER: Well . . . isn't - isn't the Committee's Report a response to the Staff's research and studies and white papers and what have you, and

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

that's typically what you do is you set up those and then have our - our - your - your Committee Report as an opinion somewhat or a different viewpoint. But I think - I think putting it first, unfortunately, sets up a pre- - preconceived ideas in my mind that you're - that you're setting out, and I think I'd rather see the report, the Staff Report first to see the facts and figures and then - and then the Committee Report based on that, and I think it still has value. I can't believe you think that someone would not read that. And - and but if it reversed, there's got - then you're - then you're looking in my mind at two - two introductions to at least define why the Staff Report is here and why the - the Committee Report is in another place -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

JOSEPH MAHER: - just define where they're going.

METTE BROGDEN: So I want to just interject a way of maybe solving this, which you can reject if you wish -

JOSEPH MAHER: Here we go.

METTE BROGDEN: - but if you look at Section III, Need for a New Paradigm, is a general principle. The - how we plan the new paradigm reflects what's going to come in the Staff Report. Defining sustainability is a general principle. Addressing uncertainty is something that's going to be in the minds of people who are looking at this report. Then you have - actually, this is not going to be title criteria for assessing policy proposals. I can't remember, but it's -

MARCELINO FLORES: The intrinsic - intrinsic . . .

METTE BROGDEN: - it's the value section, okay? You could have that,

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

then the Staff Report, then the recommendations for future phases and responses to the Staff Report.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I -

JOSEPH MAHER: That might work.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - would've liked that. I don't know that Staff agrees with what we've done, so I don't think that's possible.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: Staff?

MELANEY SEACAT: Okay. What I'm understanding you're proposing, Mette, is that essentially the introduction that's in there now has a set of background information. We have an Executive Summary, first of all, I just want to remind you, that has a lot of the Committee overarching concepts; it's written primarily in the tone of a "we," and then there is a section that clarifies why we have a separate Staff Report and a Committee Report and why there's value in having those.

So the Executive Summary I think addresses some really key points right up front. If you were to take the first section that you just outlined, Mette, and made that the next section the introduction to the whole report, turn what we now have as an introduction into a background section of the report and then went into the Staff Report, you have a clear delineation with it leading from the - from the "we" to the Committee to then the Staff voice, concluding with a Committee voice; I mean, that might be a flow.

VINCE VASQUEZ: You know, there was - there was a sentence in the - in that Executive Summary that I deleted for the purposes of my - my vote earlier that

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

says, you know -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We haven't voted on the Executive Summary yet.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I thought that's - that's part of this.

MARCELINO FLORES: No, the Executive Summary . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, not yet. We haven't gotten there yet.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Oh, okay.

METTE BROGDEN: I'm sorry. What - what did you say?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Never mind. I'm out of order.

METTE BROGDEN: No, wait a minute. We have not - we have not approved the Executive Summary, okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're not even talking about it yet.

METTE BROGDEN: We haven't talked -

VINCE VASQUEZ: I thought - I thought it was all part of this same . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

MELANEY SEACAT: The Staff Report -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're going in buckets.

MELANEY SEACAT: - is just one section.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Very good. My apologies.

METTE BROGDEN: So, Vince, we can come back to this. But - but the issue is -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - so here's the issue as I'm hearing it: There is some concern that the - that - that there would not be agreement on the part of Staff to do that - what Melaney was saying. So I'm wondering - in other words, that you have - you have the Executive Summary, you have the first part of the Staff Report, then you have the background, then you have the Staff Report.

MELANEY SEACAT: No, the Committee Report.

METTE BROGDEN: I'm sorry. The first part is the Committee Report, then the background, then the Staff Report, then the Committee response and going forward into the future . . .

MELANEY SEACAT: The future issues and then the response -

METTE BROGDEN: - and -

MELANEY SEACAT: - yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah, future issues, then the response, then appendices.

MELANEY SEACAT: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Now, Jim raised the question: Is this going to be acceptable to both sides, the Committee and the Staff? And I'm not sure that we will have a definitive answer on that tonight; is that correct?

MELANEY SEACAT: I - as I understand that proposal, it's not - the introduction would be the Committee's introduction; it would not be the introduction to the Staff Report, so we're still preserving the Staff Report as a separate -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

MELANEY SEACAT: - viewpoint, and I think that the Executive Summary clarifies that, and I think right now if we preserve that as a separate viewpoint, we're fine.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I don't understand. The - the - I'm not against it - I just don't understand what - what's being proposed -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - so maybe you can . . .

METTE BROGDEN: So the first thing that you see is the Executive Summary -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: - which we have not yet discussed.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. The second thing you see is the Committee introduction to the report which is the Need for a New Paradigm, what do we mean by sustainability -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I'm sorry. Stop a second. Go to the - go to the Table of Contents for me, please. Now - so what you're just talking about is all part of the Executive Summary?

METTE BROGDEN: No.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. So you're talking about some -

METTE BROGDEN: So before the Table of Contents is the Executive

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

Summary.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes, and . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Then we have an introduction -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: - and the introduction is not background guiding principles and description of the Phase 2 process necessarily; it is the Oversight Committee's introduction to the report, the Need for a New Paradigm, defining sustainability, addressing uncertainty; that D section that you rewrote . . . okay? That's it. Then you come back and you go into a background. Here's what we were trying to do. Here's what we were set out - here's what we were tasked to do. Here's how it was done, blah, blah, blah. Then you have this section from the Staff that's held as the Staff Report. Then you have another section that comes back and the Committee's recommendations for the future and the Committee's response to the Staff Report individually.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I can live with that.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So that's done. So then the very last thing to do - no, Vince, does that get - work for you?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Well, the - the things that I really liked in our report that I - that I - the reason I was putting 'em up front, 'cause I thought they were important, were Jim's - Jim's language -

METTE BROGDEN: Yes, that goes up front.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - and - and then the - the three recommendations I

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

guess it is. I thought those - that - you know, the context and the substance of that work I thought was - was good, not - it was comprehensive and I think it's a good - they're - they're a good kind of lense to view the whole document through. And I think, if - if anything, maybe if you - you put 'em in the Executive Summary.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: If that's -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - that's acceptable.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah, we can add it into the Executive Summary, a little bit of what the Staff has said in terms of regional -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. So -

METTE BROGDEN: - cost and so on.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - just so I understand. You go back up to the - if you would, please - the Table of Contents.                      METTE BROGDEN: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're going to have Roman Numeral I, Introduction, first bullet point is . . . okay - so then there's going to be a new Roman Numeral II which is the background, okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Right. This is New Paradigm.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: Jim can't vote against that, he's the first guy to use that term -

BONNIE POULOS: Well . . .

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

JOHN CARLSON: - "New Paradigm."

MARCELINO FLORES: Is that still going to carry the title "Oversight Committee's Concerns and Findings," or is it changing then?

METTE BROGDEN: Oversight Committee - yeah, it'll change slightly; it'll be - it'll be concerns, finding, recommendations for future phases, yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: When I saw the paradigm, sustainability, in particular those two, but the others as - as a sense of where we're headed and I see the Staff Report as the first steps on that journey, and I'm just looking to link where we want to get to with where we're starting from, and that's what I'm looking for and -

METTE BROGDEN: So could that be -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - this - this does it for me.

METTE BROGDEN: - a new paragraph that's added - yeah, okay.

And, Vince, what you were hoping was - let me state this back to you - is that somewhere early on you get in there the need for a regional approach, need to assure effective community participation and addressing cost issues, if that is mentioned in the Executive Summary, which it is not now mentioned in the Executive Summary, if that's mentioned in the Executive Summary, is that soon enough in the report?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, I mean, the verbatim - I'm not talking about like an Executive Summary of that, but that to be placed verbatim from where Jim's sub- - Jim's piece through till the end of our three recommendations. I think those are valuable things to have in an Executive Summary.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. That's fine. Is that okay with you, Jim?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What he just said?

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - I'm not sure. I'm - I'm fine with what you just did with the Table of Contents.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: And now we're going to talk about the Executive . . .

METTE BROGDEN: So now we're coming to the Executive Summary; this is the very last thing -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: - that we have to do.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me - does - does anybody feel a need to vote on this reorganization of the report or are we all happy with it? All right. Move on.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Executive Summary.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I move that the Committee adopt the Executive Summary as - as written.

VINCE VASQUEZ: No . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We can discuss it -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - I just want to get a motion on the table. Do I have a second?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARK STRATTON: Second.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Open for discussion. I'm taking over here. Vince?

VINCE VASQUEZ: The third paragraph, the Staff deliberated extensively, that paragraph, I had deleted in my - in my draft where - starting "and except where noted, supports the City/County shared goals and recommendations provided below." I mean . . . provided if you want to put our vote in there or - or whatever, or just delete that sentence. I got the feeling, if I remember correctly, that there was a lot of "we's" and I didn't feel like I was actually a part of the "we," which I - I appreciate the - you know, we're trying to get to a "we," but I . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: But here's how I view where we are on that. We - we have a nine-to-one vote to accept the Staff shared goals and recommendations, with the understanding that Committee members are going to make comments where they wish it said something different. So I think saying "except where noted" and notice where it is, we accept that. I think that's accurate.

MARK STRATTON: Could we just add the word "generally" supports in that?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It says that. Well, now it does, "generally" supports, right, that was our - that was our vote, yes.

MARK STRATTON: Then I think it addresses . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, and I don't know - if it - if it - if a footnote - you know, a footnote to that motion at that - at that statement . . . or - or put it like that.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Wait a minute. Here's what it looks like right now.

BRUCE GUNGLE: The fact of the matter is the Committee does support the goals, except where noted. The Committee does - the Committee just voted nine to one and that's - that's the way a Committee works. So if you want to get down to the semantics, the Committee does support it, but we want to say the Committee unanimously (inaudible; no microphone) Staff or except, I mean, that would - that would make sense.

MARK STRATTON: But the vote that we took was that we generally support it.

BONNIE POULOS: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yes.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Granted, but still . . .

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

METTE BROGDEN: Right. Chris? Was it nine to one or ten to one?

MARCELINO FLORES: We can have unanimously commend and generally support.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I unanimously support that.

METTE BROGDEN: Jim, was it nine to one or ten to one, the vote?

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

METTE BROGDEN: But we still need to have that clarified.

MARK STRATTON: Yes.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I'm sorry. It was ten to one, yes.

BONNIE POULOS: (Inaudible; no microphone). I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Marcelino was saying that.

MARCELINO FLORES: But I think we unanimously commend.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Now, let - let's remember that the Executive Summary has got to reflect Staff also, so we can talk about it but then Staff's got to agree to what's in this - in this document also.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So far so good.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Does that work?

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Is it possible -

METTE BROGDEN: There will be a clarification, Vince, of the vote.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Is it possible -

VINCE VASQUEZ: An asterisk?

METTE BROGDEN: Huh?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Can I get the (inaudible) asterisk?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Is it possible for the Committee to agree to getting rid of the word "generally" in the -

BONNIE POULOS: No.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - Executive Summary?

BONNIE POULOS: I think it's -

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: That's one vote.

MARK STRATTON: That was the vote.

MARCELINO FLORES: That was the vote, keep it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. I'm just trying to step forward with the most positive foot forward and then pee on 'em later.

BONNIE POULOS: (Inaudible; no microphone.)

JOSEPH MAHER: Yeah -

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

JOSEPH MAHER: - as - as - 'cause they're going to start looking for that (inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: So is this - is this language in larger font acceptable?

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

MARCELINO FLORES: I know - if that first part about the Oversight Committee commends Staff work, could that be maybe more appropriate where we talk about, you know, we're real happy about the unprecedented collaboration and then just have the - the generally - I don't know where that says the - the - just the statement about the vote we made. You know what I mean?

BONNIE POULOS: No (inaudible; no microphone).

MARCELINO FLORES: Well, I mean . . .

BONNIE POULOS: I mean, I think (inaudible; no microphone.)

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHRIS BROOKS: I think it's fine where it is.

METTE BROGDEN: I think we've got an agreement to this -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: How about "lavishly commends?"

MARCELINO FLORES: Lavish, there you go.

ED CURLEY: Very good. Very good.

BONNIE POULOS: Yeah, we'll even miss you (inaudible; no microphone).

METTE BROGDEN: Overwhelmingly -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, not that far.

METTE BROGDEN: - the Oversight Committee "overwhelming commends Staff work" and "generally supports."

MARCELINO FLORES: That's what I'm saying is where - you're - you're kind of - if you put like "overwhelmingly commend" or "unanimously commend" and then you say "generally support" the -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

MARCELINO FLORES: - recommendations, then you're kind of -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right.

MARCELINO FLORES: - that's what I'm saying, just separate the two -  
(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

MARCELINO FLORES: - make it into sentences and maybe -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: They did a lot of hard work; unfortunately, it was a flawed product. I mean, what kind of statement is that?

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, that's - that's why - that's why I'm

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

suggesting that you separate the “generally commend” or . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Leave it alone. To hell with it, we’re . . .

BONNIE POULOS: Leave it. I think it’s fine.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, we tolerate. We held our nose . . .

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Other comments?

MARK STRATTON: Do you really want more?

JOSEPH MAHER: Did you separate it and put it -

METTE BROGDEN: Here’s - here’s what I did -

BONNIE POULOS: I don’t like two sentences. I like -

METTE BROGDEN: “The Oversight Committee commends the Staff  
work; it generally supports the City and County.”

MARK STRATTON: I think it needs to be one sentence.

BONNIE POULOS: I don’t like the use of the word “it.”

JOSEPH MAHER: Sort of.

MARK STRATTON: We’re an “it.”

BONNIE POULOS: I’m sorry.

METTE BROGDEN: Thank you. Any other issues?

VINCE VASQUEZ: On page two - this is my only other thing I think - is  
just the - and it goes under the same kind of heading, it just - it says “Second, we are  
recommending” and I just have a comment. I think Staff is recommending a detailed

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

action plan, not the Oversight Committee. So I didn't know if it warrants clarification, "Second, the Staff is - is recommending a detailed action plan."

METTE BROGDEN: What paragraph are you on?

BONNIE POULOS: How about - how about, "Second, a detailed action plan is recommended?"

METTE BROGDEN: I'm not sure where you are.

BRUCE GUNGLE: We're on that two -

METTE BROGDEN: Right here?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - sentence - two line -

METTE BROGDEN: Right here?

BRUCE GUNGLE: - right there.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: "We are recommending a detailed action plan."

Okay. So what's the substitute language?

BONNIE POULOS: "Secondly, a detailed -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Wait -

BONNIE POULOS: - action . . ."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - don't put anything in yet. Let's listen to it.

BONNIE POULOS: "Secondly, a detailed action plan is recommended with 19 shared goals and 52 recommendations."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well . . .

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARCELINO FLORES: I don't know the -

BONNIE POULOS: It takes out "we."

MARCELINO FLORES: - actions are real clear. I mean . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: I think Staff is the one who was offering the detailed  
action plan.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We voted ten to one to support it.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Then I would, again -

MARCELINO FLORES: It's a "we."

VINCE VASQUEZ: - ask for a footnote -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - to that - to that . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Then - then fine. All I like is - is that - that it is  
the active tense rather than the past tense. We are recommending, Staff and the  
Committee.

BONNIE POULOS: "A detailed action plan is recommended with 19  
goals," and then take out the word "we" and . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Why - why do . . .

METTE BROGDEN: "A detailed action plan is provided with 19 shared  
goals."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Why - why do we want to do that? Why - why  
don't we want to say "we are recommending?"

MARCELINO FLORES: I . . .

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: Well, I don't like it.

MARCELINO FLORES: I don't see clear actions either. I mean, that's . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, there - there are 19 goals and 52  
recommendations.

MARCELINO FLORES: Right, but . . .

BONNIE POULOS: How about . . . how about, "Second, this report  
recommends a detailed action plan with 19 shared goals and 52 recommendations?"

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

BONNIE POULOS: And if you say "this report" . . .

METTE BROGDEN: I can undo this, Jim, don't worry.

MARK STRATTON: Under the first - the first recommendation, the  
paragraph above, it says "We are recommending first new directions in how we think  
about (inaudible) -

BONNIE POULOS: Oh, you're right.

MARK STRATTON: - so it should be a continuation of -

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

MARK STRATTON: - recommending.

JOSEPH MAHER: To be consistent. Jim was right after all.

BONNIE POULOS: I hate that when that happens.

MARK STRATTON: You owe me.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: We're about to lose this vote just 'cause I was  
right.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So, second, with the exception of one Committee member, we are recommending - no, I'm teasing.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No.

METTE BROGDEN: I'm teasing. Vince?

BONNIE POULOS: Vince, come on.

VINCE VASQUEZ: What?

METTE BROGDEN: Can you live with that?

VINCE VASQUEZ: What?

METTE BROGDEN: "We are recommending a detailed action plan?"

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, I mean, all - all - I mean, throughout the whole document it's "we" and it's supposed - the connotation seems to be Committee and Staff; it's this consensus thing. As long as somewhere within this there's a statement to the effect that it's a nine-to-one or whatever the kind of - whatever you need to make, as long as it's in there, that's all I care about.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

MARCELINO FLORES: In your one page -

VINCE VASQUEZ: It -

METTE BROGDEN: Does it have to be in the Executive Summary?

VINCE VASQUEZ: It's my preference that - that it is in - 'cause this is where there's this discussion about "we" takes place and I don't care if it's relegated to a footnote or whatever but, I mean, as long as you're defining "we."

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. I'm going to . . . Jim?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: I think that's a good point.

METTE BROGDEN: What? Joseph?

JOSEPH MAHER: Don't you think generally suggests that; that there are

-

BONNIE POULOS: That's why we took that -

JOSEPH MAHER: - you know, concessions or disagreements on very  
minor issues at this point?

MARCELINO FLORES: But, I mean . . .

METTE BROGDEN: I'm not sure that Vince's disagreements were minor.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, so . . .

JOSEPH MAHER: Whatever, they're disagreement.

VINCE VASQUEZ: There's a lot of things in here that I would've liked - I  
mean, I have a lot of - you can see -

JOSEPH MAHER: Oh, yeah.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - it's - the entire document is littered with places that  
I'd like to have had a little discussion with everybody about.

BONNIE POULOS: This isn't - I don't think that this report is the place  
where we change policies and that's where I think you're headed for, is that you want to  
see a change in direction in terms of how we make these decisions and - and how  
they're stated - I mean, that was the letter from SAHBA in terms of directing the policies  
that are going to be made, and I don't think that was the purpose of this report. I mean,  
I really think this report was a way of trying to look at the information that was provided

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

and - and provide a direction. I mean, we're not really - I - I see the next phase as really where our officials enter into a discussion with those who want to about what the policies are going to be.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Just to clarify where my head is at, 'cause as somebody who engages in, you know, public policy, you know, in town on a regular basis is knowing how these documents then are used going forward. And I - I just want to make clear that when my name is attached to it that if we're saying "we," that we define who "we" is, and if you need to put my name or my one vote or whatever it is, just so that it is - it's clear that there was - we had this discussion; that's all - that's all I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right. There is a - there is a paragraph, "we" in parentheses, Committee and Staff, so we're defining "we" there. So "we" Committee - a -

JOSEPH MAHER: Generally agree.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - major- - a . . . a majority of the Committee and Staff, just define "we."

BONNIE POULOS: But it's more than that.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I don't think it's -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. Then . . .

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - a majority 'cause that would be

. . .

BONNIE POULOS: Five to six.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

MARCELINO FLORES: And then -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

BONNIE POULOS: How about "generally agree?"

JOSEPH MAHER: That's what I said, "generally agree."

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, I mean . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, I think -

MARCELINO FLORES: I - I think, Vince -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - I think - I think Vince is - is -

MARCELINO FLORES: Vince has brought some points -

BRUCE GUNGLE: How about if you put an appendix at the end that just tallies all the votes -

JOSEPH MAHER: There you go.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - you know? And . . .

METTE BROGDEN: 'Cause we haven't had enough - all the votes. There would be one vote that was taken about whether we generally support or not, so it does not make sense.

And the issue, as a neutral I have to say, Vince or anybody on this Committee has a right to have their vote heard if it's in opposition. So . . .

TINA LEE: How about just a footnote (inaudible; no microphone) -

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

METTE BROGDEN: You can footnote it.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Footnote after "Staff" - parentheses and say

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

“Committee” - “This represents a ten-to-one vote of the Committee.”

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

JOSEPH MAHER: In the Executive Report - Executive Summary, yes.

MARCELINO FLORES: But there are some issues that - that Vince has brought up and I think it's somewhat - not necessarily totally address - but the role of the Oversight Committee section does kind of touch on - on who the “we” are and what our roles were.

METTE BROGDEN: Vince's name is going on this report. And, as the neutral, I will tell you that what is fair is that his voice is adequately represented along with everybody else's.

BRUCE GUNGLE: You want your last name in parenthetical there? I'm being serious, you know, like when the dissenting vote -

VINCE VASQUEZ: No.

METTE BROGDEN: No.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - is usually included and that way it's clear that -

VINCE VASQUEZ: No.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - you're not . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: I thought . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, I don't think - I don't think my name needs to be included, I just - just that, as a Committee, we weren't at consensus and we weren't a “we,” it was just a nine-to-one -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Ten-to-one.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: - and then - ten-to-one - and that my name - or it'll all appear in the -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: All right, Vince -

VINCE VASQUEZ: - little minority report.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - how would you write that - rewrite that point?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Which point?

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. So -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Second, we are -

METTE BROGDEN: - here's what we have: "We, Committee and Staff," and the footnote is: "Committee by a vote of ten to one."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, go back up there. Go back up there a second, please.

METTE BROGDEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I mean . . . we - I mean, Vince, I don't think that you disagree that we face opportunity challenges for the future, do you? Do you have a problem with that paragraph?

VINCE VASQUEZ: No, I don't.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. So -

MARK STRATTON: No, it's the "Second . . ."

VINCE VASQUEZ: It's - it's the two things I mentioned; the "Second, we are recommending," but - and then also the one up front that was the - paragraph 3 that

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

- and where - "and except where noted, supports," blah, blah, blah, blah. I think if anywhere you should put it on -

MARCELINO FLORES: On the first -

VINCE VASQUEZ: - on the first or the second. I don't care. I mean -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: - it's one time and just say . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mette, how would you handle this?

METTE BROGDEN: Find a place for that footnote that's appropriate.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: A foot- - you just say footnote it.

METTE BROGDEN: And the footnote is: "By a vote of ten to one.

"Committee, by a vote of ten to one."

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, 'cause . . .

MARK STRATTON: I think . . .

VINCE VASQUEZ: I would - I would put it after "Staff deliberated;" that's where I think, if it's my opinion, it would - the footnote would go at the end of - or - or wherever it says "recommendations" or . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: Yeah, where it was said "generally -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, the generally . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: - support." The "generally supports . . ."

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, 'cause that's where the - that was the voting language and so -

MARCELINO FLORES: So "generally supports" and then you have the

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

footnote on the - after “supports” or - yeah, there you go.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay?

VINCE VASQUEZ: And then put “anon.”

MARK STRATTON: We’re just going to put your address.

JOSEPH MAHER: Anonymous.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Other things in the Executive Summary?

JOSEPH MAHER: Can I get my name in bold print?

TINA LEE: I have got a comment. Under number 4 -

METTE BROGDEN: Mayor and Council?

TINA LEE: Yes. The word “encourage” I think could be stronger. I don’t necessarily have a suggestion, but “encourage” just sounds weak to me and I think we had that discussion earlier about, you know, that they should -

MARCELINO FLORES: Lead.

TINA LEE: - lead or that they should -

MARCELINO FLORES: Implement.

TINA LEE: - ‘cause to effect or something -

BONNIE POULOS: Initiate.

TINA LEE: - you know, initiate.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Lead is too much like they -

MARCELINO FLORES: Oh, yeah.

TINA LEE: Right, and - but I still think the word “encourage” sounds weak.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I had the same - I had the same highlight.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

TINA LEE: Initiate?

MARK STRATTON: Initiate?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Let me -

VINCE VASQUEZ: Or - or “help convene” I think was the word that we -

METTE BROGDEN: “Help convene?”

VINCE VASQUEZ: - or was the phrase we used before.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: I think they need to . . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What - what - what are we suggesting? That - that at - at this January 12<sup>th</sup> meeting what you would like is for the Mayor and Council and the Board of Supervisors to approve a letter to who?

BONNIE POULOS: To the other jurisdictions.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Just to the other jurisdictions?

BONNIE POULOS: To the other water providers.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: To the other water providers. What about the - all of the neighborhood groups? What about the environmental groups? I mean . . . you’re - you’re talking about convening something without defining who’s going to be invited. So I - I just think . . . “should offer” or “should invite” -

METTE BROGDEN: “Should support?”

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - something, but -

METTE BROGDEN: “Should support?”

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - I don’t think that - that we want to be

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

suggesting the Mayor and Council and the Board of Supervisors accept exclusive ownership of the regional dialogue because that's going to set everybody off, the - the points that other people - that it looks like the big guys are trying to gang up again.

CHRIS BROOKS: Yeah, I like the idea of saying, you know, should express clear support for a regional dialogue.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Well, that's fine with me as long as we're - we're not saying you guys got to accept responsibility for it because I don't think that they - they could . . .

CHRIS BROOKS: They can't and they shouldn't.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Right. And - and I hope that in our section at the end - well, we're saying who should be invited, that we're saying everybody should get invited to this table, you know, and that's - that's - as Bruce well knows watching Caroline go through it - that's a tough thing to get everybody to the table -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Jim -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - that -

BRUCE GUNGLE: - one thing, though, at the end of the day all this is - all this is is a recommendation and all that Mayor and Council and Board have to do is ignore it, you know; it's not like 'cause we write it in here it's going to happen -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I understand.

BRUCE GUNGLE: - so . . . you know. . .

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: What - what we're doing then is putting them in the position of making a decision to not - not convene or take responsibility for regional

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

dialogue and that'll be the headline.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Well, I don't think it's a bad recommendation.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It's a bad recommendation?

BRUCE GUNGLE: I don't think it is. I do not think it is.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, okay.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. Is the language "should express clear support for regional dialogue" sufficient?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - I can live with that.

METTE BROGDEN: Anybody? Okay. Do we have anything else?

VINCE VASQUEZ: (Inaudible; no microphone).

(Laughter; multiple speakers.)

BRUCE GUNGLE: Let's vote on whether or not he's excused.

VINCE VASQUEZ: But that's short - that is short of where we got earlier when we - we came to an agreement that - I think the language was "should" - "should help" or is "obligated" or that may - that word came up, we feel obligated to help convene a regional process; that was our statement. Do we still - if we still stand behind that statement, I think this is the appropriate place to insert that language.

MARCELINO FLORES: There's a clear obligation.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, that there - I think that was the consensus that we . . .

(Inaudible; multiple speakers; no microphone.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So you're recommending - you want us to

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

recommend that -

METTE BROGDEN: Here it is: City and County should commit to helping a regional process be convened and commit resources to assuring that it can happen.”

MARK STRATTON: Do we just . . .

BRUCE GUNGLE: Boy, that’s an ugly sentence.

VINCE VASQUEZ: That’s stronger than “encouraging” regional dialogue.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

MARK STRATTON: Yeah.

JOSEPH MAHER: (Inaudible; no microphone.)

MARK STRATTON: I think take that language and put it in the . . .

JOSEPH MAHER: Yeah, the Executive Summary.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers; no microphone.)

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, it’s going to require a little bit of word-smithing, but the idea . . .

(Inaudible; multiple speakers; no microphone.)

BRUCE GUNGLE: Regional process be convened?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I think you need to say Mayor and Council -

JOSEPH MAHER: Yes.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: - and the Board of Supervisors. . . they have to be put on the hot seat.

(Inaudible; multiple speakers; no microphone.)

BRUCE GUNGLE: Anyway, they’ll - they’ll smooth it out I hope.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mayor and Council and - and the; that's who you're talking to.

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yes.

BONNIE POULOS: To convene (inaudible; no microphone) -

BRUCE GUNGLE: You're going to smooth that sentence out; right?

METTE BROGDEN: No. Yes. So we'll get that combined, okay?

The - using the adopted Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports, the City and County's positions in these dialogues," is that okay with everybody? Some language to that effect in here. Okay.

JOHN CARLSON: If we're done with the report, I got a question.

MARK STRATTON: We're not done yet.

METTE BROGDEN: Is there anything else in the Executive Summary?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Did you get in what you wanted from the end?

VINCE VASQUEZ: That was - that was - this was this. This was this.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah.

METTE BROGDEN: Yeah.

BRUCE GUNGLE: All right.

VINCE VASQUEZ: That - that we placed - we replaced "encourage regional dialogue" with this; that was the . . .

METTE BROGDEN: Okay.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Okay.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: And I think everything else with the asterisk or whatever, the footnote.

METTE BROGDEN: All right. Is it time to call the question? Rob?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: I move we accept the Executive Summary as amended.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It's already on the - the motion's on the table and seconded. Jim Barry? Yes. Chris Brooks?

CHRIS BROOKS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: John Carlson?

JOHN CARLSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Marcelino Flores?

BRUCE GUNGLE: MIA.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Bruce Gungle?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Rob Kulakofsky?

ROB KULAKOFSKY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Tina Lee?

TINA LEE: (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Joseph Maher?

JOSEPH MAHER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Bonnie Poulos?

BONNIE POULOS: Yes.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Mark Stratton?

MARK STRATTON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Vince Vasquez?

JOHN CARLSON: Here's Marcelino.

VINCE VASQUEZ: How do you vote on that? I mean - I guess - I guess  
with the -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Vote "Yes" or "No."

VINCE VASQUEZ: Well, you can abstain.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I - I would vote - I would vote "Yes."

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. And, Marcelino, you vote "Yes" on  
accepting the Executive Summary as edited?

MARCELINO FLORES: (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Staff, you comfortable with that Executive  
Summary?

MELANEY SEACAT: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Okay. I will get these changes incorporated and out  
to you by tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock at night. This will not include copy edits, okay?  
Copy edit process will be next week.

JOHN CARLSON: So what are we supposed to do with it?

METTE BROGDEN: So people wanted to see the final report with the  
changes incorporated so that they could prepare their one-pagers if they want to submit

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

one.

BONNIE POULOS: And who do they need (inaudible; no microphone).

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. And - and - that's what I'm going to talk to now. When are you going to get this next thing out?

METTE BROGDEN: I'm going to incorporate all these changes and send you out the final report.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: When?

METTE BROGDEN: By tomorrow night at 9:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay, okay.

METTE BROGDEN: And the issue is that it will not include copy edits -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: - I will not have deleted yet the shading, okay? But it will include all the changes that were done tonight, okay?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. The - the Staff needs to have what is going to go - the Staff needs to get the work to the printer by the 11<sup>th</sup>, so - and Staff is going to have - Mette's going to have to go through and proofread all of this. I say that - that if we're going - if you want to submit something, you have to have it to Melaney? -

MELANEY SEACAT: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - by close of business on Tuesday.

MARCELINO FLORES: Mette or Melaney?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Huh?

MARCELINO FLORES: Mette or Melaney or . . . ?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Melaney. This is not - this is not work for Mette. This is - this is our - we're just doing it - whatever we say is what we say, got to make sure that it's - that it's proofed, okay?

JOHN CARLSON: The 8<sup>th</sup>?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Tuesday is the 8<sup>th</sup>, yes. Tuesday the 8<sup>th</sup>. And it seems to me we have to agree on ground rules. Your name has to be associated with it and it's one page, whatever - what - what font are you going to write this report in?

CHRIS BROOKS: Do you know what the font is?

MELANEY SEACAT: What font?

VINCE VASQUEZ: Font size.

METTE BROGDEN: Do you know what the font style and size is?

MELANEY SEACAT: We'll use the same font style that we used in the Phase 1 report for consistency -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So do -

MELANEY SEACAT: - and -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: - I mean, is that something 12?

MELANEY SEACAT: I think it was 11.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Arial, like Arial 11?

BRUCE GUNGLE: It's New Times Roman.

MELANEY SEACAT: Send it to us and - or if I can email that out to you tomorrow so I can check. I can't remember.

BRUCE GUNGLE: That's what this is.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: But we need to -

METTE BROGDEN: Is it one-inch margins?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Yeah, one-inch margin -

MELANEY SEACAT: Yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Single-spaced?

MELANEY SEACAT: Single-spaced.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It can be single-spaced, yes.

METTE BROGDEN: Single-spaced, one-inch margin, Times New Roman,  
11-point font.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Fine.

MARCELINO FLORES: 11.5?

METTE BROGDEN: 11-point font -

BRUCE GUNGLE: Font, font.

METTE BROGDEN: Tuesday, 5:00 p.m.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Tuesday, 5:00 p.m., 11.5 -

MELANEY SEACAT: No, 11.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: No, 11.

METTE BROGDEN: 11-point font.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Times New Roman?

METTE BROGDEN: Yes. One-inch margins, single-spaced.

MARCELINO FLORES: Hyper-links allowed?

METTE BROGDEN: No.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

VINCE VASQUEZ: Yeah, right.

BRUCE GUNGLE: Yeah, right. To - to the 20 pages of comments you really have.

VINCE VASQUEZ: I don't know what the rules go on this, but in terms of the last vote, I - I would prefer in thinking it more, I would prefer if it is reflected as an abstention.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay.

VINCE VASQUEZ: Sorry to split hairs here, but I'd prefer that.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Okay. There is no future meeting.

JOHN CARLSON: May I ask a question?

CHRIS BROOKS: No future official meeting?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

BRUCE GUNGLE: John's going to offer to buy us -

JOHN CARLSON: Well -

BRUCE GUNGLE: - all drinks after.

JOHN CARLSON: - I realize there's no more meetings, but what should we as residual obligation or readiness be prepared to do, among other things, these are expensive. Do they want 'em back? I'm not going to throw everything away right now, but - but what kind of guidance do you want to give us?

BRUCE GUNGLE: Sell it on E-bay.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: It is - it is my understanding that we have fulfilled our duties as a Committee with these votes, and that we have just sunsetted

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

ourselves.

JOHN CARLSON: Well . . .

BONNIE POULOS: I'd like to thank Mette for helping bring all this together.

(Applause.)

JOHN CARLSON: Do you want this dumb thing back?

MARCELINO FLORES: If I can ask -

MELANEY SEACAT: No, I - I - I think you should hang on to it. I think we may be calling you for additional . . .

MARCELINO FLORES: If - if I can just suggest maybe Staff can get out the Outreach Plan once again to this - Committee members and then, you know, as Committee members can make themselves available to be present at some of these events. I know the 12<sup>th</sup> meeting is one of those events and things like that, so . . .

JOHN CARLSON: I was going to offer to sell Vince my one page of complaints if he wanted to pay enough for it.

VINCE VASQUEZ: What do you want, John?

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Chris?

CHRIS AVERY: I just want to say on behalf of Tucson Water Staff - and I'm going to give Ed a chance to speak on behalf of Pima County Wastewater Staff - but I just wanted to tell you thanks. I've been - we've enjoyed having you as a captive audience and subjects of indoctrination, however you want to call it, but it has been a good experience for us to listen to your concerns and to have an audience for some of

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

our far-fetched theories, so I just want to tell you thanks.

VINCE VASQUEZ: (Inaudible; no microphone.)

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: You can now - you can now wake up from this drug-induced . . .

(Inaudible; multiple speakers.)

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: You've been pranked.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I - I just . . .

CHRIS BROOKS: The camera's been on the whole time and (inaudible).

ED CURLEY: I think the thing that was most intriguing to me is the goodwill and comradery from the Committee then lapped over into how Staff react and there's been a very definite change in attitude and behavior in how ourselves and City Staff are able to work cooperatively, not just on this project but on other projects, so it's had some significant spin-off. And, Mel, you've done a lot of things, you might want to comment.

MELANEY SEACAT: Yeah. No, I just want to reiterate that. I think this has been one of the most collaborative and incredibly bright group of people I've ever had the privilege to work for. I've learned a lot from all of you and I look forward to continuing to work with you on these issues.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: I just want to thank you all for accepting me as the Chairman for - for 20 months and I think we should be proud of what we got done in that time. We did a great job.

Do we want to adjourn for one last time?

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee  
\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

BONNIE POULOS: Don't we have call -

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Oh, I'm sorry.

**City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee**  
**\_Committee Meeting Held on December 3, 2009 - Phase 2**

---

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Well, I'd just like to say that you've done a remark- - all of you, thank you for the remarkable job that you've done from - this is coming from the Ward 3 Council Member Uhlich's office, and I would like to say that you've created a very valuable document for the community, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: Anybody want to adjourn for the last time?

JOHN CARLSON: Irene, good night.

BONNIE POULOS: So moved.

CHAIRMAN JIM BARRY: So moved. Done. Thank you all very, very much.

(Conclusion of meeting.)

\* \* \* \* \*

**CERTIFICATE**

I hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing is a true, accurate and verbatim transcription of the audio recording of the City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee Meeting held on November 12, 2009.

Transcription completed: January 4, 2010.

---

DANIELLE L. KRASSOW